#### MINISTRY OF TEXTILES OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER 48, VITHALDAS THAKARSEE MARG NISTHA BHAVAN (NEW C.G.O. BLDG), MUMBAI – 400020 Tel No. 022-22001050 Fax : 022-22004693 :: E-mail : atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in Website: <u>www.txcindia.gov.in</u>

F.No.12(7)/28thTAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/ | ] 9

Date : 27.05.2022

#### Sir/Madam,

Sub: Minutes of the 28<sup>th</sup> Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee Meeting (TAMC) under ATUFS and Previous Version of TUFS held at 2:30 p.m. on 21.04.2022-reg.

Sir/Madam,

The Minutes of the 28<sup>th</sup> Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee Meeting (TAMC) held at 2:30PM on 21.04.2022 in the Office of the Textile Commissioner for discussing the issues pertaining to ATUFS and Previous Version of TUFS and ratified in the 6<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC (Agenda no. 10) is enclosed herewith. The decisions of TAMC's 27<sup>th</sup> meeting shall be read with the decision of 6<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC.

Encl : as above.

3412100

(Usha Pralhad Pol) Deputy Director General

To,

#### All members of TAMC Meeting

Copy to :

- 1. PS to Tx.C. : For kind information
- 2. Shri Anil Kumar K.C., US, MOT, New Delhi
- 3. M/s. Silver Touch Technologies Ltd.
- 4. Computer cell of O/o TXC Mumbai.

#### Minutes of the 28th meeting of Technical Advisory-Cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing issues of ATUFS and Previous Versions of TUFS

28<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Technical Advisory-cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) and Previous Versions of TUFS chaired by Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner was held virtually at 2.30 PM on 21.04.2022 The list of participants is at <u>Annexure-I.</u>

At the outset, the Textile Commissioner extended a warm welcome to all the participants and requested Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General to take up the agenda before the Committee. The decisions thereon were taken by TAMC as follows:-

### Agenda No.1: Confirmation of minutes of the 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting held on 13.04.2022

Minutes of the 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC held at 02:30 PM on 13.04.2022 is being forwarded to MoT /IMSC for concurrence for circulation and publish.

#### Agenda No. 2: Review of Progress of TUFS

a. Progress of utilization of allotted fund for the financial year 2022-23.

(Rs. in Crores)

S. No	Scheme	Allocation	Expenditure
1	ATUFS		
2	MTUFS		
3	RTUFS		
4	RRTUFS(bank routed )	650	Authorization yet to be received
5	RRTUFS (MMS)		
6	OAE		
	Total*		

\* as on 20.04.2022

#### b. ATUFS (position as on 31.03.2022):

UIDs are being auto generated w.e.f. 9th August 2019. As on 31.03.2022, total 14392 UIDs with provisional subsidy ₹ 4963.25 Cr. with project cost of Rs. 69163.03 Cr. have been generated. Segment wise details are given below-

0				Rs in Crore
#	Segment Name	UID Issued	Project Cost	Provisional Subsidy
1	Garmenting(15%CIS)	1469	3327.47	340.47
2	Handloom(10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57
3	Jute(10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31
4	Multi activity (10%CIS/15%CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02
5	Processing(10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28
6	Silk(10% CIS)	30	41.44	02.71
7	Technical Textile(15% CIS)	534	4243.68	396.42
8	Weaving(10% CIS)	8371	23182.03	1733.47
TOT		14392	69163.03	4963.25

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 1 of 17



The progress and above data are placed before the TAMC for information.

# <u>Agenda No. 3:</u> Condoning delay of timeline for uploading of JIT report beyond 2+7 days time line

25<sup>th</sup> TAMC in its agenda point no.7 recommended 25 cases to take up with MoT for condoning delay in upload of JIT reports. However upon re-examining, it is observed that, 12 out of 25 cases were uploaded within the 2 working days which are not required condoning delay. Accordingly only 13 cases were referred to MoT seeking condoning delay.

This is for the information of the Committee. List of the 13 cases are attached as Annexure- II.

# Decision taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: Committee has recommended to place the matter before 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC for condoning delay beyond 9 days.

### Agenda No. 4:- For Previous versions of TUFS for special JIT (Joint Inspection Team)

The second meeting to review the progress of Special JIT and to expedite the JIT under previous version of TUFS (MTUFS, RTUFS and RRTUFS) held at 11.00 on 04.04.2022 pm at Central Silk Board (CSB), Bangalore in the presence of Smt. Roop Rashi, IA&AS, Textile Commissioner and Shri Rajit Ranjan Okhandiyar, IFS, Member Secretary, Central Silk Board. During the meeting the Nodal Officers of CSB have raised the following two issues:

- 1. Difficulty in uploading the video record of JIT inspection.
- 2. Seeking revised Format-F from JIT after excluding ineligible machines.

#### Sub Agenda No. 1:- Difficulty in uploading the video record of JIT inspection.

During the meeting, the Nodal Officers of CSB has informed that the provision made in iTUFS portal to upload 2 to 5 minutes video coverage & photos (25MB) of JIT carried out of the unit is not sufficient as time period and size of the video coverage for larger units is very less. Hence, they requested that the condition of taking and uploading video of the JIT may be waived off.

In this regard, point No. 2.3.3.1.n. of the Protocol on physical inspection of the machinery procured under ongoing subsidy accounts pertaining to previous version of TUFS dated 14.06.2019 issued by the MOT is reproduced as under:

# 2.3.1.n: JIT will record video of the JIT conducted and upload online in i-TUFS. The video should not be less than 2 minutes and more than 5 minutes period. The JIT will further upload the duly certified Format-A in the i-TUFS software. The video and Format-A, will be within 24 hours of inspection. A suitable arrangement will be made in i-TUFS software to facilitate uploading inspection report and the 2-5 minutes video of the inspection by the team.

In this connection the Director Central Silk Board vide e-mail dt 04.04.2022 informed to the OIC. RO TxC, Coimbatore that the Technical Officers of JIT are experiencing difficulty in uploading video of the JIT inspection as the i-TUFS portal accepts the video of 25MB only for a particular account, where as the video has to be taken for 2 to 5 minutes so as to cover all the

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 2 of 17

SNRITO

machines purchased by the unit under TUFS scheme. Hence, they requested to examine the above issue.

**Decision of the Committee**: As per the protocol upload of video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB size in the i-TUFS portal is mandatory while the iTUFS portal also has the provision to upload at the most 5 photos of physical JIT inspection. It has also been seen that almost all the JITs have uploaded geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection in iTUFS.

In view of the request of CSB to examine the issue and the existing provision to upload geo tagged time stamped photos for physical JIT inspection, provision to upload video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB in the i-TUFS portal may be waived off and upload of geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection may only be made mandatory. Accordingly, the matter may be taken up with MoT for necessary changes in the protocol issued by MoT as it is the part of protocol.

**Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee deliberated and decided that the** provision to upload video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB in the i-TUFS portal may be waived off and upload of geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection may be made mandatory. The matter may also be taken up with MoT for necessary changes in protocol issued by MoT as it is the part of protocol.

<u>Sub Agenda No. 2</u>:Submission of revised Format-F after removing ineligible machines by JIT.

Nodal Officers of CSB informed that Banks and units are not responding for the clarifications sought by the HQ/ROs of the Textile Commissioners Offices. In respect of Format-F which contains quarter wise subsidy released, to be released and recovery of subsidy released. Format-F is being prepared by the JIT member nominated by the Bank. Preparation of revised Format-F duly signed by all three JIT members again is very difficult. Hence, they requested to look in the matter.

In this regard, point No. 2.3.3.1.0. of Protocol on physical inspection of the machinery procured under ongoing subsidy accounts pertaining to previous version of TUFS dated 14.06.2019 issued by the MOT which is reproduced as under:

## 2.3.3.1. o: The Report of the JIT will be final and subsidy will be released based on the physical verification and certification of the JIT in the Format-A.

Further point no 2.3.4.c, the Office of the Textile Commissioner will check that all the documents as per the protocol have been signed by the JIT and are enclosed with the report. The Office of the Textile Commissioner will also check whether JIT report has been duly recommended by the JIT before sending it to MoT for further action. Textile Commissioner shall accord approval for eligibility within seven (7) days from the receipt of report from the Joint Inspection Team and forward to MoT for release of subsidy.

As per the said provision in the protocol, **Annexure-E** and **Annexure-F** being referred back for revising subsidy schedule through RO from JIT members. The CSB during the meeting has reported that banks are not responding to their request even after repetitive reminders. In this

Minutes of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 3 of 17

SUNITS

regard, as deliberated in the meeting with CSB, the matter has been placed before TAMC that if bank/unit does not reply to the query even after two reminders(to be issued with 15 days interval) a final notice to them may be issued by Regd. AD stating that within 21 days the claim will be settled based on the documents available and provided by the JIT. The subsidy may be restricted on eligible found machine(s) for which revised quarter wise subsidy schedule will be provided by the TUF cell of the concerned bank. The TUF cell of the concerned bank will also affect the recovery, if any arisen due to the said process. The process will help to expedite disposal of the long pending claims in time bound manner. This is required as per the Protocol issued by MoT hence need to be taken up with MoT.

**Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee deliberated and took inputs of banks which are the main stakeholder in the process. It was decided that in order to avoid delay in obtaining revised documents and settlement of claim, two reminders with a span of 21 days to submit revised documents sought by Head Office/ Regional Office under intimation to the concerned Nodal Office of TUFS cell of the bank and a final notice by Regd. AD stating that within 21 days the claim will be settled based on the documents available and provided by the JIT may be sent to the branch of the concerned bank. The subsidy may be restricted on eligible found machine(s) for which revised quarter wise subsidy schedule will be provided by the TUF cell of the concerned bank. The TUF cell of the concerned bank will also affect the recovery, if any arisen due to the said process. This will help facilitate settlement.

# <u>Agenda No.-5</u> : Consideration of 6% Interest Reimbursement and 15% Capital Subsidy for Electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased in separate invoices by the unit in the same project

As per MC-15 under the GR on RRTUFS under heading "List of Brand new Shuttleless looms eligible for 15% Capital subsidy and 6% Interest reimbursement under TUFS" it is mentioned as "Rapier loom:- Weft insertion rate not less than 650 mtrs. per minute with or without electronic dobby/ electronic jacquard'

Further, stand-alone electronic Jacquard/Dobby is eligible for 5% IR only as per Annexure-MC-5-b-7(Jacquard and Dobby on stand-alone basis).

As per guidelines of RRTUFS, the Shuttleless looms purchased with electronic Jacqard/Dobby are eligible for 6% IR+15% CS. The electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased separately will be considered for 5% IR only.

In this connection, M/s Sutlej Textiles and Industries Ltd, Valsad, Gujarat has represented that they have purchased Shuttleless Rapier looms without electronic Jacquard from ITEMA, HongKong and Electronic Jacquard from Bonas, Belgium. They have requested to consider both Shuttleless Rapier looms and Electronic Jacquard for 6% Interest Reimbursement + 15% Capital Subsidy as the Shuttleless manufacturer (ITEMA) is not manufacturing electronic jacquard. Therefore, they have purchased shuttleless Rapier loom without electronic jacquard from ITEMA and electronic jacquard from Bonas separately and installed in their unit.

The above matter has been discussed in the  $1^{st} \& 2^{nd}$  Technical Committee (TC) Meeting held on 20.01.2022 and 06.04.2022 and decision taken is as under:

RADITO

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

**Decision of TC**: The Committee noticed that none of European countries Shuttleless looms manufacturers are manufacturing electronic jacquard/dobby and as per trade practice, the shuttles looms and electronic jacquard/dobby are purchased on separate invoices from different manufacturers, hence recommended for considering same benefits (@6% IR and 15% CS under RRTUFS for both shuttleless looms and electronic jacquard/dobby though purchased separately by the unit, if same are purchased under same project and the time gap between the commercial invoices of both shuttleless looms and electronic jacquard/dobby is not more than 3 months. The Committee recommended to refer the case to TAMC.

Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee deliberated and decided to consider the cases in which the Shuttleless looms and Electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased separately from different manufacturers and installed for 15% Capital Subsidy and 6% Interest subsidy under Segment Brand New Shuttleless looms ANNEX-MC15 under GR on RRTUFS provided the same are purchased under same project with the time gap between the commercial invoice of both shuttleless loom and electronic jacquard/ dobby is not more than 3 months.

<u>Agenda No.-6</u>: Consideration of Ducting and Piping for Humidification Plant as accessories which are purchased by the Unit from other than Original Manufacturers.

As per Annexure-MC-2-a-15 of GR under RRTUFS "Industrial Humidification system with air washer plant and air filters to maintain RH and temperature with / without de-humidifiers (Chillers)".

In case of M/s Drashti Cotspin Pvt Ltd, Amreli, Gujarat, the JIT has recommended the eligible cost of Humidification Plant as Rs.2,66,50,704/- which details are as under:

i. Machines for Humidification Plant: Rs.70,18,895/-.

ii.	Ducting for H.Plant	: Rs.1,15,85,409 and
:::	Dimin a fan II Dlant	D 00 46 400 /

iii. Piping for H.Plant : Rs.80,46,400 /-.

The above matter has been discussed in the  $2^{nd}$  Technical Committee (TC) Meeting held on 06.04.2022 and the discussion & decision taken is as under:

**Discussion:** The Committee felt that the machines for humidification plant purchased along with all equipments from the machine manufacturer are eligible for subsidy. In this case, the Machines have been purchased from machine manufacturer whereas Ducting and Piping purchased from other than machine manufacturer. Hence, the Ducting and Piping may be considered as accessories of humidification plant and to be restricted @20% of the main machine cost as per para 3.2(7) of the GR on RRTUFS.

**Decision of TC**: The Committee decided to consider Ducting and Piping as accessories for Humidification Plant in this case and to be restricted to 20% of the main machine cost.

Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee deliberated and decided to defer the matter for insights on admissibility of components of Humidification plant and its accessories through ITC and in deliberation with concerned stakeholders.

ZNRITO

#### Agenda No 7: Decisions of ITC for ratification by TAMC

# <u>Sub Agenda no .1:</u> For ratification of machinery manufacturer/authorized agents enlistment

Enlistment of 12 machinery manufacturer/authorized agents as per **Annexure-III** recommended by Internal Technical Committee (ITC) in its 40<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12.04.2022 is placed before the TAMC for ratification.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision.

Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The TAMC ratified decision of enlistment of 12 machinery manufacturers as per Annexure-III as recommended by ITC in its 40<sup>th</sup> meeting.

<u>Agenda No. – 02 (Agenda No-03 of  $38^{th}$  ITC):</u> Consideration of date of applicability in regard to S4A/N4A rapier looms.

Representation received with request from the Indian Jute Mills Association vide letter no.-IJMA/25022022/TAMC/K-1(A)/86-D/01 dt. 25.02.2022 for reconsidering the date of applicability from 01.04.2019 in regard to S4A/N4A rapier looms under ATUFS in respect to decision of 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC.

<u>Subject</u>: The Indian Jute Mills Association had requested for the inclusion of the newly developed S4A/N4A rapier sacking looms are semicircular / half circular looms instead of the circular looms. The matter was placed in 17<sup>th</sup> ITC followed by 20<sup>th</sup> TAMC and 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC and decisions are reproduced as follows:

**Decision Taken in 17<sup>th</sup> ITC:** The Committee observed that since the matter pertains to inclusion of semi – circular sacking looms for Jute Industry, the recommendation of the Jute Commissioner may be taken for including the newly developed S4A/N4A rapier sacking loom under ATUFS and based on feedback/recommendation of the Jute Commissioner. Matter may be placed before TAMC for decision.

**Decision of 20th TAMC:** The Committee deliberated and decided to seek the recommendation of the Jute Commissioner for including the newly developed S4AN4A rapier sacking loom under ATUFS.

As per direction of 20<sup>th</sup> TAMC and vide letter no. T-6111134108-XIII dated 30.04.2021, the Jute Commissioner has recommended for inclusion of Semi-Circular S4AN4A-type looms having weft insertion rate 400 meter per minute under ATUFS (MC 07). The date of applicability of the machine shall be the invoice which has date from 01<sup>st</sup> April 2021 onwards.

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 6 of 17



**Decision of 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC:** The Committee ratified inclusion of the Semi-Circular S4A/N4A-type looms having weft insertion rate 400 meter per minute under ATUFS (MC 07). The date of applicability of the machine shall be the invoice which has date from 01<sup>st</sup> April2021 onwards.

Since Indian Jute Mills Association (IJMA) commented on 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC decision and requested for relaxing the date of applicability from 01.04.2019 instead 01.04.2021 due to applications pending for issuance of UIDs during Nation lockdown. The matter was discussed in 24<sup>th</sup> TAMC and in this regard 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC Minutes has been confirmed except agenda no-04 (Said Agenda).

Now, IJMA has submitted representation to reconsider the date of applicability as 01.04.2019 instead 01.04.2021 as decided in 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC.

<u>Decision Taken:</u> The committee observed that first e-mail was received on 08.01.2021, hence in accordance to decision of  $5^{\text{th}}$  IMSC held on 22.10.2021 in respect of agenda no-04 (Page-7 of the  $5^{\text{th}}$  IMSC Minutes), the date of applicability can be allowed only as 08.01.2021.

The committee may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision

Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The TAMC observed that decision of 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC held on 22.10.2021 in respect of agenda no-04 (Page-7 of the 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC Minutes) is applicable for the cases to be decided after the said IMSC meeting. Hence decided to continue with the decision taken in the meeting of 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC.

<u>Agenda Point No-03 (Agenda No-04 of 38<sup>th</sup> ITC):</u> Consideration of Logo of Navis Tubetex& Navis Global.

RO- Coimbatore had forwarded case of M/s Fashion gate, Tirupur regarding establishment of relation between M/s Tubular Textile Machinery Inc USA, Navis Tubetex and Navis Global (in absence of Manufacturer name in the Name plate whereas Brand name/Logo is available as per the direction of 15<sup>th</sup> TAMC decision on case-to-case basis)

In this connection, M/s Tubular Textile Machinery, USA has submitted the representation that Navis Tubetex (Brand of Tubular Textile Machinery) has not re-branded anything. They have and always have been *Navis Tubetex* and have more than one brand, i.e. *Navis Global*, which was used and is part of their portfolio.

As such, Manufacturerhas two nameplates, NavisTubetex and NavisGlobal as mentioned below:

<u>Navis Tubetex</u>: Finishing Machinery for Knit and Woven, Tubular and Open Width. Pads, Dryers, Tubular, Compactors, Open Width Compactors and Stenters for Knits.

*Navis Global:* Specialty Equipment for Non-Woven, Technical materials and coating including Specialtyovens, Laminating and Coating Equipment, Stenters, and other Special Material Handling Equipment's.

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 7 of 17

3HNUE

In respect to the case for M/s Fashion Gate, Tirupur where two machines were found with Navis Tubetex logo and one machine with Navis Global Logo. In this regard, Manufacturer has declared that the Navis Global Logo is mistakenly affixed in said the machine.

<u>Section Note</u>: As per submitted documents, it has been noticed that brand logo indicated in the machine name plate and website were found to be same.

**Decision Taken in 38<sup>th</sup> ITC:** - The committee reviewed the documents and declaration provided by the manufacturer and observed that Navis Tubetex logo is found and matching with its all documents and website. Hence, the Committee is of opinion that the Navis Tubtex Logo may be considered subject to fulfills the other conditions as per eligibility condition of the guidelines. In addition, since Navis Global logo is nowhere available in documents except self-declaration, the committee decided not to consider the same as affixing of wrong machine plate is not possible if dispatched from original manufacturer that too from USA.

#### The TAMC may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC.

# <u>Agenda Point No-04 (Agenda No-05 of 38<sup>th</sup> ITC):</u> Consideration of Logo of M/s. Pegasus Sewing Machine Pte Ltd, Singapore.

RO Kolkata vide letter no. 17(481)/21/ATUFS/RO/180-181 dtd 25.02.2022. 17(435)/19/ATUFS/RO-KOL/201 dtd 04.03.2022 forwarded JIT case of M/s Lux Industries Ltd and vide letter no. 17(458)/20/ATUFS/RO-KOL/202 dtd 04.03.2022 for M/s Ebell Fashion Pvt. Ltd. In this connection, units have purchased power operated flat lock/over lock machine from Pegasus Sewing Machine Pte Ltd Singapore. The commercial invoice contains the name of manufacturer however machine plate contains logo i.e., Pegasus only. As such, the manufacturer has submitted trademark certificate issued by Registrar of Trade mark, Bombay dated 30.04.1982 followed by renewal certificate issued by Registrar of Trade Marks, Kolkata dated 03.03.2020. RO- Kolkata requested committee to review the case as per 15th TAMC decision.

Section Note: The logo in name plate, trademark certificate and website are found to be same.

**Decision Taken:** - The Committee gone through the documents submitted by the unit and observed that, the trademark logo is available in machine plate. However, the system generated invoice having stamped logo duly signed. Hence, the committee recommended the case to TAMC.

The committee may deliberate and decide on the subject.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC.

Agenda Point No-05(Agenda No-08 of 38th ITC):

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 8 of 17

30 min

In the 25<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting a representation received from M/s. Gujtex Engineering Company, a manufacturer of PLC Based Husk / Oil / Gas Fired Boiler with Automatic Control on combustion Efficiency was discussed and a decision was taken that "As from the documents submitted by M/s. Gujtex Engineering Company, the Boiler manufactured by them was concluded without PLC control, O2 Monitoring Equipments, Electrostatic precipitator and Micro dust collector. Hence, the committee did not consider their request to enlist as they were not manufacturing benchmarked technology machinery as specified in ATUFS."

In their representation, the unit has also alleged that some of their competitors manufacturing the same products are already enlisted under ATUFS As such the Textile Commissioner has directed the ITC to review the enlistment of the following 5 manufacturers of similar machinery, enlisted prior to the formation of Internal Technical Committee, to ensure that they are manufacturing benchmarked technology machinery as specified in ATUFS.

- 1. M/s. Heatex Industries Limited, Surat
- 2. M/s. Thermax Limited, Pune
- 3. M/s. Cheema Boiler Ltd., Mumbai
- 4. M/s. Misra Boiler Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana
- 5. M/s. Maxima Boilers Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai

**Decision Taken in 26<sup>th</sup> ITC:** The Committee scrutinized the technical literature and other documents submitted by the above manufacturers for getting them enlisted under ATUFS. Ongoing through the documents, it was observed that M/s. Thermax Limited, Pune has not submitted the technical literature for the boiler manufactured by them. Accordingly, the committee requested that the same may be called for from the unit. The technical literatures of the other four units were scrutinized and it was observed by the committee that as per the literature available on records related to remaining four manufacturers was not found in conformity of the specification for boiler as specified in ATUFS.

In view of the above, the committee decided to call the details from theses 4 (four) units namely M/s. Heatex Industries Limited, Surat, M/s. Cheema Boiler Ltd., Mumbai, M/s. Mishra Boiler Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana and M/s. Maxima Boilers Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai in respect of boilers being supplied by them and explanation whether boiler being manufactured by them, are qualifying all specification as prescribed under ATUFS. The committee also directed to call for technical literature from M/s. Thermax Ltd., Pune and details of the subsidy claims submitted to this office in respect of the boilers manufactured by these five manufacturers may be obtained from ATUFS Cell. The said details obtained from ATUFS Cell are attached herewith as Annexure-III.

In consequent of above, this office has requested the details from the units vide email dated 17.11.2021 and subsequent reminders. The respective units have submitted clarification as follows:

- 1) M/s Misra Boilers:
  - Self-Declaration
  - Certificate issued by Boiler Department Govt. of Punjab
  - Commercial Documents (GST/VAT Copies, MSME Udyog Adhar, Pan No)
  - ISO 14001:2015 & ISO 45001:2018
  - Chamber of Industry & Commerce Undertaking
  - Client List

24115

Product Catalogue

#### 2) - M/s Maxima Boilers Pvt Ltd

- Self-Declaration with product details
- Copy of product catalogue (As per previous submitted during enlistment)

#### 3) - M/s. Heatex Industries Limited:

Self-Declaration on product specification with Client details

#### 4) - M/s. Thermax Limited, Pune:

• Product catalogue with subject of inclusion of Gujarat Based Manufacturing unit under ATUFS

#### 5) - M/s. Cheema Boiler Ltd., Mumbai:

• Self-declaration with product specification Section Note- This office has requested to submit Product catalogue (new) vide e-mail dated 02.03.2022

**Decision Taken:** -The Committee gone through the documents and recommended that M/s. Gujtex Engineering Company may also be enlisted as one of Machine Manufacturer. It is also opined by the ITC that JIT has to certify the machine specification as per ATUFS guidelines to process the subsidy claims.

#### The committee may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision

# Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed and accepted the recommendations of the ITC.

#### Agenda Point No-06(Additional Agenda No-02 of 38th ITC):

R.O. Navi Mumbai have vide letter no 12(3250)/JIT/ATUFS/2018/TUFS/48 dt. 09.03.2022 informed that during the JIT of M/s. Shrijee Lifestyle Pvt. Ltd.

The matter was placed in 35<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting that during JIT, it was observed that the machine serial number is not engraved / punched in the machine plate and it is a label. However, the unit has submitted a certificate from the machine manufacturer i.e. M/s. Konica Minolta INC confirming that the name plates showing the model number of machine is properly affixed on the machine board. They have also mentioned that the body cover of the machine is very sleek; hence it is the general practice to affix a separate name plate showing the model number of the machine instead of engraving the same on it.

**Decision taken in 35<sup>th</sup> ITC:** After due deliberation in the matter the committee decided to ask for clarification from the unit as to whether the machine number is located in any other part of the machine and whether the machine can be identified if any other way apart from the label mentioning the machine serial number.

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

SHANTS

As per decision, this office asked unit for clarification vide e-mail dated 07.03.2022. In lieu of above manufacturer has submitted clarification vide e-mail dated 09.03.2022 alongwith screenshot of PC that the machine Sr no & model can be seen in the PC supplied with machine.

**Decision taken:** The Committee reviewed the case and opined that deviation from name plate guidelines as per ATUFS GR does not fall under purview of ITC. It is a policy decision hence ATUFS section may process the case as per extant of ATUFS guidelines.

The committee may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee opined that considering the fact that M/s. Konica Minolta INC is reputed manufacturers; hence their all equipments/ machines should have machine serial numbers as due manufacturing requirements. Accordingly directed ITC to again examine the case and submit findings within one month to TAMC.

#### Agenda Point No-07 (Additional Agenda No-03 of 38<sup>th</sup> ITC):

M/s. Meera Industries Ltd., Gujarat has vide letter dt. 9/12/2021 requested to modify the description of the machine allowed under MC-3 B (3) for 'Technical Textiles' as 'Cable Corder for various industrial use and Model: Carpet Cabler / Carpet Twister direct cabling machine for carpet yarn'. Accordingly, they have submitted the Technical Literature and Brochure of Machine.

**Decision Taken in 33rd ITC:** The Committee noted that under MC-3 B (3) Cable Corder is made eligible for preparation of yarn to be used for Tyre cord fabric or parachute whereas M/s Meera Industries Ltd, Gujarat has requested for allowing carpet cabler / carpet twister which is more or less like a TFO machine. The TFO machines are already made eligible under MC-01 B 5 in same category wherein their machine will also fall. We may add the description of the machine at under MC-01 B 5 as cable corder for carpets having minimum speed of 8000 rpm.

**Decision taken in 25<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The committee has decided to revisit the decision of 33d ITC as the technology is already recommended by 3rd ITC (Agenda No. 03) and subsequently added based on 15th TAMC Decision (Additional Agenda No. 10).

**Decision taken:** The committee reviewed the case and clarified that decision taken in  $3^{rd}$  ITC and  $33^{rd}$  ITC are altogether different. Hence, again recommended to TAMC as was recommended by the  $33^{rd}$  ITC.

The committee may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and based on submissions made by TMMA directed ITC to re-examine the specification of the machines to be kept under ATUFS for cable corder for carpets.

#### Agenda Point No-08(Agenda No-02 of 40<sup>th</sup> ITC): Case of M/s Urja Products

TUFS Cell vide e-mail dated 28.02.2022 forwarded case pertaining to M/s Urja products Pvt. Ltd., Ahmadabad.

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 11 of 17

3UNIE

M/s Urja products (beneficiary Unit) purchased High Speed rapier Loom from M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd, China (Enlisted as manufacturer in Annexure-III at Sr No-153). The invoice raised by -M/s Zhejiang Wanli Limited, China (Not available in database) Machine Name Plate- M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Limited.

In this regard RO-Ahmadabad vide letter No.- 17/21/2172/2019/ATUFS/ROA/379 dated 25.02.2022 forwarded clarification submitted by manufacturer alongwith supporting document (Self declaration letter dated 30.06.2021) as such Zhejiang Wanli Limited and Wanli Textile Machinery Co. Ltd. is under the same ownership. M/s Zhejiang Wanli Limited is short form of M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd.

However manufacturer submitted further declaration vide dated 10.02.2022 certified by China Council for the Promotion of International Trade China Chamber of International Commerce that Zhejiang Wanli Limited comprises 100% share and voting rights however M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd comprises 60.2% share & voting rights with different company registration nos under the same ownership.

The matter was placed in 38<sup>th</sup> ITC and it was discussed to call legal documents to establish relationship between the entities.

In lieu of above, unit has submitted MOU between the entities for the period 1/1/2014 to 31/12/2018.

Section Note: The MOU submitted by the unit is not valid as on date as it was valid only up to 31/12/2018

**Decision Taken in 40<sup>th</sup> ITC**: As this is an administrative matter and not a technical issue, hence the committee opined that the matter does not come under the purview of ITC.

The committee may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and directed ITC to call required documents from machine manufactures for enlistment under ATUFS and finalize possibility of enlistment or otherwise early.

### Agenda Point No-09(Agenda No-04 of 40<sup>th</sup> ITC):

RO- Amritsar forwarded vide e-mail dated 07.10.2021 JIT report of M/s Sobhagia Sales Pvt Ltd, Ludhiana. During JIT, it is observed that the manufacturer name is VaVTeknolojiBilisim San Ve Tic A.S., Turkey however the name plate does not comprise the manufacturer name. The name plate mentioned as VAV Technology.

In this connection and 15<sup>th</sup> TAMC decision, TMB section requested RO to submit branding exercise and marketing practice.

R.O.Amritsar in response to TMB Section's email has forwarded the Trademark Registration Certificate issued by Turkish Patent and Brand institution in respect of the machinery manufacturer M/s. Vav TeknolojiBilisim San. Ve. Tic, Turkey. It may be noted that the brand logo indicated on the Trademark Registration Certificate as well as the website of the manufacturer are the same.

Minutes of 28th TAMC meeting to be read with decision of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of IMSC

Page 12 of 17

3HRIZ

**Decision Taken in 40<sup>th</sup> ITC:** The committee reviewed the Trade Mark Registration Certificate and invoices submitted by R.O.Amritsar. It was noted that the logo appears to be the same in all the above documents and name plate of the machine supplied. The same is reflected in their website as well. Hence, the committee recommended the case for release of subsidy subject to other conditions being met as per ATUFS guidelines.

### The TAMC may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

# Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC.

### Agenda Point No-10 (Agenda No-05 of 40<sup>th</sup> ITC):

ATUFS vide section note dated 30.03.2022 forwarded case of M/s VKA Polymers Pvt Ltd (Beneficiary) (ATUFS/2018-193109). The unit has claimed the Air compressor under MC 02-81 supplied by Ms ATLAS COPCO (INDIA) LTD with the invoice No KCB03304 dated 15.11.2018 and the model of GATIVSD- FF compressor which has an inbuilt inverter and inbuilt air dryer (as confirmed by ROIC visit) with an installed motor capacity of 11 kW. As per the specification in the same plate photo submitted by JIT, the Motor Power of the said Air compressor is 11 KW As per the standard conversion applied 11 KW will become 14.75 HP and hence it is below the specifications mentioned in the ATUFS guidelines of 15 HP and above. ROIC further visited the unit and confirmed that the specification mentioned in the Air

compressor 11 KW and Air Dryer Motor specification mentioned as 0.54 KW and as such the consolidated KW of Air compressor is 11.54 KW. Thus, final power would be 15.475 HP; hence the machine qualifies the eligibility under ATUFS.

TUFS Cell requested to confirm that specification of the Air compressor mentioned in the ATUTS guidelines (Air Compressor 15 HP and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers) is in line with the availability of the machine specification in the market.

**Decision Taken:** The Committee noted that nowadays the standard market practice of motor manufacturers is to mention the motor capacity in KW instead of HP. So accordingly, the specification may be modified as follows:

S.No	Annex. S. No	Name of the machine with specification – Existing	Name of the machine with specifications- Revised
1.	MC-01-A-8	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers for Air Jet looms only	
2.	MC-01-C-10	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers
3.	MC-02-81	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with in- built invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with in- built invertors and with or without air driers
4.	MC-02-70	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with in- built invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with in- built invertors and with or without air driers

The TAMC may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee discussed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC and referred to IMSC/MoT for revision in GR.

2NNIT

#### Agenda Point No-11 (Agenda No-06 of 40<sup>th</sup> ITC):

Indian Technical Textiles Association (ITTA) vide e-mail dated 28.03.2022 represented case of to consider to include the <u>Machinery for Conversion of Non-Woven into Underpad used for</u> <u>Medical</u> under ATUFS eligible machine list under Chapter MC-3, ideally under MC-3 (i) (9) or in MC-3 (i) (8) under "Other such items" for technical textile machinery. The request was received from one valued ITTA member who is interested in putting up an Underpad manufacturing plant. More units are expected to be installed in future as well.

**Decision Taken:** The Committee after due deliberation accepted to the request of ITTA and decided to modify the specification as under:

S.No.	Annex. S. No	Name of the machine with specification - Existing	Name of the machine with specifications Revised
1.	MC-3-i-9	Machinery for conversion of nonwovens into sanitary napkins / baby diapers / adult diapers	Machinery for conversion of nonwovens into sanitary napkins / baby diapers / adult diapers/ <b>underpad</b>

The TAMC may deliberate and ratify the ITC decision.

Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC: The Committee deliberated and accepted the recommendations of the ITC.

\*\*\*\*\*

SURITO

### Annexure I to minutes of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting

#### List of attendees

S. No.	Name and organisation
1.	Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner - In Chair
2.	Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General, OTxC, Mumbai
3.	Shri .S P Verma, Additional Textile Commissioner, OTxC, Mumbai
4.	Shri V K Kohli, Joint Textile Commissioner, OTxC, Mumbai
5.	Ms. Avni Gupta, Deputy Director, OtxC, Mumbai
6.	Shri Humayun K. Assistant Director, OTXC Mumbai
7.	Sh. Narottam Kumar, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai
8.	Sh. Santosh V Pakhare, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai
9.	Dr S Sunanda, Secretary General, Confederation of Indian Textile Industry, New Delhi
10.	Shri Bharat Gandhi Chairman, FIASWI, Surat
11.	Shri Charanjeev Singh President, Ludhiana Knitwear Club, Ludhiana
12.	Shri Mohan Sadhwani E.D., CMAI, Mumbai
13.	Shri Sachin Kumar E.D. Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association, Mumbai
14.	Dr. Tammanna ,DSG, Apparel Export Promotion Council
15.	Ms. Chandrima, Apparel Export Promotion Council
16.	Shri S Balaraju E.D., Synthetic and Rayon Textiles Promotion Council, Mumbai
17.	Representative TUFS Cell, IDBI Bank, Mumbai
18.	Shri R.P. Gupta TUFS Cell, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI),
19.	Dr. K. Selvaraju S.G., The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore
20.	Dr. Anup Rakshit E.D., Indian Technical Textile Association (ITTA), Mumbai
21.	Representative of, TUFS Cell, State Bank of India, Mumbai
22.	Representative, TUFS Cell, Central Bank of India, Mumbai
23.	Representative TUFS Cell, Bank of India, Mumbai
24.	Ms. Vaishali, TUFS Cell, Union Bank of India, Mumbai
25.	Shri A Kaustubh, TUFS Cell, Bank of Baroda, Mumbai
26.	Shri Naresh Sauran TUFS Cell PNB

### ANNEXURE-1

S.No	Unit Name	TUFS Ref. No
1	Tejani Textrend	ATUFS/2016-17/138
2	VANDANA TECHNOFAB PRIVATE LIMITED	ATUFS/2017-18/2717
3	BHASKAR SILK MILLS PVT.LTD.	ATUFS/2017-18/3030
4	SITARAM TEXTILE	ATUFS/2018-19/3678
5	M/S MAYANK PROCESSORS PVT LTD	ATUFS/2016-17/2176
6	Twisha Textiles	ATUFS/2019-20/655
7	Shree Raj Textiles	ATUFS/2019-20/656
8	MEERA TEXTILE	ATUFS/2017-18/2749
9	Jayraj Synthetics	ATUFS/2019-20/658
10	M/s. Mercury process	ATUFS/2019-20/2632
11	M/s. Sri Vijayalakshmi printing	ATUFS/2019-20/2593
12	M/s Srishti Apparels	ATUFS/2019-20/2200
13	M/s. Aditya Exports	ATUFS/2019-20/671

8

SR.		FI ICIDI E TEVTIL E	MOU FOR
	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE	
NO.		MACHINE COVERED	AUTHORIZED
		UNDER WHICH	
		ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	COMMISSION
INDI	GENOUS	1	
1	M/s. Stovec Industries Ltd. Ahmedabad	MC-2-48	
2	M/s. Om Tex Parts, Surat	MC-1-B-5	
3	M/s. Surbhi Engineering, Surat	MC-1-B-5	
	M/s. GQTEK LLP., Bangalore, Karnataka		5%
4	(Auth. Agent of M/s. UstunMakinaTekstil San.		
	Ve TIC. Ltd. Sti, Istanbul, Turkey)		
	M/s. Ross Process Equipment Private Limited.,	MC-3-H	
5	Pune		
6	M/s. Jasch Industries Limited., Haryana	MC-3-H	
ABR	OAD		
ADK	OAD		
1	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China	MC-4-113	
1		MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv,	
	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v	
1	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co,	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6,	
1	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2-	
1 2	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6,	
1 2	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2-	
1 2 3	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China M/s. Thies GmbH & Co. KG., Germany M/s. Spoolex SAS, France.	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2- 39, MC-2-41, MC-2-42	2%
1 2 3	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China M/s. Thies GmbH & Co. KG., Germany M/s. Spoolex SAS, France. M/s. M-Day International Co., Ltd. Hongkong	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2- 39, MC-2-41, MC-2-42	2%
1 2 3 4	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co, Ltd., China M/s. Thies GmbH & Co. KG., Germany M/s. Spoolex SAS, France.	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2- 39, MC-2-41, MC-2-42	2%
1 2 3	M/s. Guangzhou Cnding Robot Co, Ltd., China         M/s. Jiashan HH Nonwovens Machinery Co,         Ltd., China         M/s. Thies GmbH & Co. KG., Germany         M/s. Spoolex SAS, France.         M/s. M-Day International Co., Ltd. Hongkong         (Auth. Agent of M/s. M/s. Quanzhou Bai Xing	MC-3-g-1-iii, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC-3-g-1-v MC-2-3, MC-2-4, MC-2-6, MC-2-7, MC-2-32, MC-2- 39, MC-2-41, MC-2-42	2%

1an

### ANNEXURE-III to minutes of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting