## MINISTRY OF TEXTILES OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER 48, VITHALDAS THAKARSEE MARG

NISTHA BHAVAN (NEW C.G.O. BLDG), MUMBAI – 400020

Tel No. 022-22001050 Fax : 022-22004693 :: E-mail : atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in

Website: www.txcindia.gov.in

F.No.12(7)/31stTAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/63

Sir/Madam,

Sub: Minutes of the 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) under ATUFS held at 05:00 p.m. on 14.09.2022-reg.

Sir/Madam.

The Minutes of the 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) held at 5:00PM on 14.09.2022 in the Office of the Textile Commissioner through VC Mode for discussing the issues pertaining to ATUFS is enclosed herewith.

Encl: as above.

(Usha Pralhad Pol)
Deputy Director General

Date: 15.09.2022

To,

All members of TAMC Meeting

### Copy to:

- 1. PS to Tx.C.: For kind information
- 2. Shri Anil Kumar K.C., US, MOT, New Delhi
- 3. M/s. Silver Touch Technologies Ltd.
- 4. Computer cell of O/o TXC Mumbai.

# Minutes of 31<sup>st</sup>meeting of TechnicalAdvisory-Cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC)for discussing issues of ATUFS held on 14.09.2022 through VC

31<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Technical Advisory-cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing the issues on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) chaired by Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner was held virtually at 05.00 PM on 14.09.2022. The list of participants is at **Annexure-I**.

#### Agenda No.1:

The minutes of 30<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC held at 12:00 noon on 13.07.2022 were circulated to all the participants and also placed on Office website on 12<sup>nd</sup> August 2022.As no comments have been received hence the minutes are treated as final.

### Agenda No. 2: Review of Progress of TUFS

a. Progress of utilization of allotted fund for the financial year 2022-23.

(Rs. in Crores)

S. No	Scheme	Allocation	Expenditure
1	ATUFS		133.06
2	MTUFS		0.00
3	RTUFS	650	0.21
4	RRTUFS(bank routed)		36.39
5	RRTUFS (MMS)		1.32
	Total*		170.98

<sup>\*</sup> as on 09.09.2022

# b. Segment wise details of UIDs issued under ATUFS as on 09.09.2022:

(Rs. in Crores)

Page 1 of 26

				(Its. III CIVICS)
#	Segment Name	UID Issued	Project Cost	Provisional Subsidy
1	Garmenting(15%CIS)	1469	3327.47	340.47
2	Handloom(10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57
3	Jute(10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31
4	Multi activity (10%CIS/15%CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02
5	Processing(10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28
6	Silk(10% CIS)	30	41.44	02.71
7	Technical Textile(15% CIS)	534	4243.68	396.42
8	Weaving(10% CIS)	8369	23180.87	1733.37
	TOTAL	14390*	69161.87	4963.15

<sup>\*</sup>Two UIDs have been cancelled on request of entity

The progress and above data are placed before the TAMC for information.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee noted the progress.

Agenda No. 3:-Decoding the Year and month of manufacture based on the format of serial number for the machines supplied by M/s Lovia Korea.

As per the decision taken in 17th Meeting of TAMC under ATUFS, the Expert Committee constituted to work out modalities for operationalzing decoding of YoM from barcode on

34 NI %

machine name plate/ machine in a manner to ensure compliance to existing guidelines and facilitate support and based on the clarifications and certificates submitted by the machinery manufacturer / industry members to ATUFS cell time to time. Accordingly, the third meeting of Expert Committee was held under the Chairmanship of Shri.S.P.Verma, Additional Textile Commissioner on 11.07.2022 at 04.00 PM through Video Conferencing.

(i).ST-12 Industrial sewing machine attachment Digitally controlled Button feeder attachment (Annexure-2), (ii).MJ-3400 Industrial sewing machine single needle lockstitch machine for making collar cuff etc. (Autojigmachine)(Annexure-3), (iii).ST-10Industrial sewing machine Button wrapping & Knotting machine (Annexure-4).

#### i. ST-12 Button feeder attachment:

Based on the format of serial No. for their machine ST-12 Button feeder attachment, the decoding procedure has been submitted for machine serial number having 9 digits of alphanumeric consisting 2 alphabetic numbers toidentify the Month and Year of manufacture. However the machine serial numbers mentioned in the machines which have been supplied and claimed are having different formats (9 / 10 digit with 3 different types of alphanumeric characters contained for a single model) in the machine serial numbers. Hence the Committee did not consider the decoding procedure.

#### ii. MJ-3400 & iii.ST-10

For rest of the two machines with the above said models (MJ-3400 &ST-10) referred at S. No. ii & iii the committee recommended to TAMC the decoding process as defined in Annexure – 3 & 4 which have to be considered for identifying the month and year of manufacture of the machine MJ-3400 Industrial sewing machine single needle lockstitch machine for making collar cuff etc. (Autojig machine) (Annexure-3) & ST-10 Industrial sewing machine Button wrapping & Knotting machine (Annexure-4).

#### **Decision of the Expert Committee:**

 The Committee recommended to TAMC that the decoding process as defined in case of M/s. LOIVA, Korea, may be considered based on the decoding procedure as defined in Annxure-3 & 4 provided by them in respect of the machines MJ-3400 Industrial sewing machine single needle lockstitch machine for making collar cuff etc (Autojig machine) & ST-10 Industrial sewing machine Button wrapping & Knotting machine respectively.

However, The Expert Committee not accepted the decoding procedure as defined in case of the machine ST-12 Button feeder attachment in Annexure-2

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the Expert Committee for decoding process as defined in case of M/s. LOIVA, Korea. However on request of AEPC to reconsider the Expert Committee decision on provision of some documents, the Committee decided that the Expert Committee may review the decoding procedure as defined in case of the machine ST-12 Button feeder attachment.

Agenda no .4: For ratification of machinery manufacturer/authorized agents enlistment

SHIVIE

Enlistment of 20 machinery manufacturer/authorized agents as per annexure-5 recommended by Internal Technical Committee (ITC) in its 46<sup>th</sup>, 47<sup>th</sup> and 48<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19.07.2022, 28.07.2022 and 11.08.2022 respectively is placed before the TAMC for ratification

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: TAMC ratified the enlistment of 20 machinery manufacturers/authorized agents given at Annexure-5.

### Agenda no . 5 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 45 ITC):

M/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery company vide letter dated 04.03.2021 requested for change in name from M/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery Company to M/s Supreme Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd, China.

M/s Ningbo Supreme is enlisted at Sr No- 0342 in Annexure-III. The unit has provided below documents in support of name change:

- Certificate on name change issued by Ningbo Municipal Market Supervision Administration
- Notarial certificate

While translation of Certificate of name change copy, it has been noted that the earlier name Ningbo Supreme Electronic Company reflected as Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery Company and hence unit was asked clarification for the same.

Further it has been taken into consideration as below:

- The sign language is in Chinese language as given in Business Licence (submitted earlier during enlistment) and Certificate of Name change
- The Registration no of business licence and name change certificate is same only With above observations, the name change request is placed for decision in ITC and Annexure -III may be amended as follows:

Earlier Name	Name changed to
A/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery company upto 18/01/2021	M/s Supreme Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd, China from 19/01/2021

Decision Taken by ITC: Based on the clarification and copy of Certificate on name change issued by Ningbo Municipal Market Supervision Administration the Committee has recommended the request of the unit for name change as under:

Earlier Name	Name changed to
M/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery company.	M/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery company upto 18/01/2021.
Machinery company.	M/s Supreme Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd, China from 19/01/2021 onwards

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC for recording name change of M/s Ningbo Supreme Electronic Machinery company up to Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

Page 3 of 26



18/01/2021 and M/s Supreme Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd, China from 19/01/2021 onwards as per the date of invoice.

#### Agenda no . 6 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 45 ITC): Case forwarded by RO Amritsar

RO Amritsar forwarded letter no. 30(323)/2020-21/ATUFS/ASR/105 dated 08.06.2022 regarding JIT report in respect of M/s. Paragon Knits Ltd. regarding brand name "KEMPL" found in the machine name plate instead of manufacturers name "Ms. KRSNA ENGIMECH PVT. LTD", Ahmadabad

In this regard the following documents were submitted by RO Amritsar

- 1. Invoice Copy
- 2. Name plate of machinery photo Copy
- 3. Manufacturer Self Declaration Copy

Now, the unit replied that they are not having trademark registration certificate for the brand and product in reply to this office query for submitting branding exercise, marketing practices and share their authentic logo/ Trade mark certificate as per 15<sup>th</sup> TAMC decision.

#### Section note:

TUFS cell also submitted note (No. 12(3021)/JIT/ATUFS/2020/TUFS/119) .dated 17.02.2022 along with manufacturer declaration for similar case and requested to place in ITC for making suitable decision.

With available section records, the logo present in all documents submitted for enlistment.

Decision Taken by ITC: The ITC has gone through the documents submitted by the R.O Amritsar and recommended the case for subsidy subject to fulfillment of other condition of A-TUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITCfor brand name "KEMPL "of machine manufacturers " M/s. KRSNA ENGIMECH PVT. LTD", Ahmadabad.

### Agenda no . 7 (Agenda Point No. 5 of 45 ITC): Case forwarded by ATUFS Cell

ATUFS cell forwarded the request from beneficiaries M/s. SukhdamTex Fab, M/s. Nyalkaran Textile and M/s. Radhe Krishan Textile who are all bought water jet machines from M/s. Qingdao Huifang Textile Machinery Co. Ltd., China (Enlisted at Sr. No. 38 in Annexure – III under ATUFS).

During JIT visit "EMMTEK" brand logo is available in the machine name plate where the brand name nowhere mentioned in Invoice copies and all documents submitted during enlistment.

This Office vide e-mail dated 02.06.2022 raised query to unit/beneficiaries for submitting branding exercise, marketing practices and share their authentic logo/ Trade mark certificate, product catalogue. No reply received yet.

3 HILLE

Decision Taken by ITC: The ITC examined the documents submitted by ATUFS Cell and noted that the brand logo is appearing only in the machine nameplate and not appearing in the Invoice Copies. As such the Committee has not acceded to the request of M/s. SukhdamTex Fab, M/s. Nyalkaran Textile and M/s. Radhe krishan Textile.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC for not considering the brand logo of "EMMTEK"

Agenda no . 8 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 46 ITC): Query raised by TUFS Cell reg. JIT report of M/s. Amaya Ventures LLP, Gandhinagar.

On scrutiny of the JIT Report of M/s. Amaya Ventures LLP, Gandhinagar, submitted by R.O. Ahmadabad, TUFS Cell has observed from the Invoice that 3 numbers of machines (2 mixer and 1 cleaner) purchased by the unit has been recommended by the JIT as a single machine. On raising the query R.O.Ahmadabad has informed as under:

'Foam generator / mixer are used by the unit as an auxiliary machine for coating line. Two mixer and one cleaner as per Invoice. All above machines are auxiliary machines of the coating line hence unit has been allotted 01 MIC and JIT has verified all the machines mentioned in the invoice and recommended the same considering as a one Machine in the JIT Report.'.

<u>Decision Taken in 15<sup>th</sup> ITC dated 05.01.2021</u>: The Committee was of the opinion that TUFS Cell should call for comments from R.O.Ahmadabad on why the mixer and cleaner machines should be considered as a single machine. They may also be asked to forward the Technical Literature for the mixer and cleaner of the machines. Matter may be deferred till the receipt of the Technical Literature and comments from R.O. Ahmadabad.

<u>Section note:</u> Now ATUFS section replied via note no. 12(2833)/JIT/ATUFS/2019/TUFS/37 dated 10.05.2021 as below.

- 1)- RO Ahmadabad informed that the claimed machine is a combined machine. In this machine both mixers perform as a unit to make foamable chemical for surface coating. Hence JIT has considered as a single machine
- 2)- RO Ahmadabad has forwarded technical literature provided by the machine manufacturer.

<u>Decision Taken in 42<sup>nd</sup> ITC dated 12.05.2022</u>: The Committee requested ATUFS Section to take appropriate decision as per GR and Circular No. 5 (2016-17 series) dt. 15/09/2016.

<u>Decision Taken by 29<sup>th</sup>TAMC dated 29.06.2022</u>: The report submitted by the ITC in the matter under reference was not found satisfactory hence Committee directed the ITC to re-examine the issue and submit report in next TAMC.

<u>Section note:</u> Now it is confirmed from invoice copy that both mixer and cleaner supplied with single invoice vide invoice no. R1735738 dated 28.03.2017.

<u>Decision takenby ITC:</u> The Committee re-examined the matter on technical and commercial ground as per decision of 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC. The Committee opined that the both components mentioned in invoice are part and parcel of the machine as confirmed by JIT,

hence may be considered as a one machine. The Committee retained the decision taken in 42<sup>nd</sup> ITC dated 12.05.2022.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

#### Agenda no . 9 (Agenda Point No. 3.1 of 46 ITC): Cases forwarded by TUFS Cell

TUFS Cell vide note no.2 (3589)/JIT/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/29 dated 05.07.2022 forwarded JIT report in respect of M/s. Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. Theunit has purchased Hydro Extractor machine from M/s. Lakshmi Card Clothing Mfg. Co. Pvt. Ltd., Coimbatore. During JIT it is found that machine name plate comprises only logo of the machinery manufacturer. RO- Coimbatore has submitted the following documents in support of the matter.

- 1. Invoice Copy
- 2. Name plate of machinery photo Copy
- 3. Manufacturer Self Declaration Copy

<u>Section note:</u> Based on the submitted documents, it is verified that the logo available in the all documents are same i.e., Invoice, Machine Name plate, Manufacturer Declaration and Website.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u> The Committee gone through the documents and machine name plates and recommended the case for release of subsidy if other ATUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

#### Agenda no . 10 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 46 ITC):

TMMA vide email dt. 6/6/2022 has requested to take up the matter regarding subsidy claims of the customers of M/s. Menzel Engineering India Pvt. Ltd., being rejected in view of two invoices for their Continuous Bleaching Range (CBR), i.e., Invoice from M/s. Karl Menzel Maschinenfabrik GmbH & Co, Germany for Bleaching Steamer as well as other critical components imported by customer directly and invoice from M/s. Menzel Engineering India.

As per the submission of TMMA the machine purchased and installed is a brand new "Continuous Bleaching & Scouring Range from reputed and well-established manufactures (Menzel India – Indian Subsidiary and Karl Menzel – Foreign Parent Company. M/s. Menzel India is producing 30 percent of the machine i.e., Bleaching / Washing Chamber which is costing more than 55 percent of the total machine cost and the parent company is manufacturing steamer etc. i.e., 70 percent of the machine, which is costing only 45 percent of the total machine cost. However, both the parts cannot function independently.

As per TMMA, this is the best example of "Make in India" globally competitive technologies at cost competitive rates that should be promoted.

<u>Decision Taken 45<sup>th</sup> ITC dated 27.06.2022:</u> The committee after due deliberation in the matter has decided to call for documents as proof of relation between M/s. Karl Menzel Maschinenfabrik GmbH & Co, Germany and M/s. Menzel Engineering India and also documents establishing the Joint Venture between the two manufacturers for manufacturing the Continuous Bleaching Range (CBR).

Now the unit submitted below documents in support of their request

- 1) Article of Association
- 2) Presentation on JV
- 3) Catalogue
- 4) Latest shareholding pattern

<u>Section Note:</u> While scrutiny of submitted documents, the Article of Association establishes that M/s. Menzel Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. is registered as Private limited company. The share holding pattern declares that Mr. Peter is common share holder in both aforesaid entities which does not establish any Joint- venture between the companies. The technology transfer declaration letter provided by M/s. Karl Menzel Maschinenfabrik GmbH &Co, Germany is self-declaration only not legal document.

Decision Taken by ITC: The Committee reviewed and decided to refer the matter to TAMC for deliberation and decision. As per the "Article of Association" of M/s. Menzel Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. it is clearly mentioned that M/s. Menzel Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. is joint venture of M/s. Karl Menzel Maschinenfabrik GmbH &Co, Germany(ReferParano.5 of Article of Association). Looking to joint venture of said two companies, both the companies may be allowed to supply a part of machine separately to form a complete machine which will not only promote "Make in India" but also save foreign exchange and Indian companies will get machine of international benchmark technology at competitive prices.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

Agenda no . 11 (Agenda Point No. 7 of 46 ITC): Enlistment of new Subsidiary unit at Guangdong & closure of existing subsidiary at Shenzhen: M/s. Fong's National Engineering (Guangdong) Co. Ltd, China submitted all the documents for enlistment as a subsidiary manufacturing unit of M/S. Fong's National Dyeing and Finishing Machinery (Macao Commercial Offshore) Co. Ltd. Macau which is enlisted at sr. No 433 in Annexure-III under ATUFS.

In view of the above, the unit submitted below documents as follows:

- 1. Business License attested by Indian embassy and
- 2. Undertaking
- 3. Sales figure
- 4. Declaration
- 6. ISO certificate
- 7. ISO certificate verification
- 8. Extract pages from financial report

In addition to that enlistment request, the unit also submitted the manufacturing location change request i.e., from the M/s. Fong's National Engineering (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd, China to M/s. Fong's National Engineering (Guangdong) Co. Ltd, China with effect from 01.02.2021.

At present M/s. Fong's National Engineering (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd, China was enlisted (sr. No 432 in Annexure-III) as a manufacturing unit of M/S. Fong's National Dyeing and Finishing Machinery (Macao Commercial Offshore) Co. Ltd. Macau.

Section Note: The below change requested by the unit in Annexure-III,

Annexure –III	Present	Proposed
Sr.no.432 (Abroad)	M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL ENGINEERING (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD. CHINA {MANUFACTURING UNIT OF M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL DYEING AND FINISHING MACHINERY (MACAO COMMERCIAL OFFSHORE) CO. LTD. MACAU}	M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL ENGINEERING (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD. CHINA MANUFACTURING UNIT OF M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL DYEING AND FINISHING MACHINERY (MACAO COMMERCIAL OFFSHORE) CO. LTD. MACAU} (Valid Upto 31.01.2021)  M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL ENGINEERING (GUANGDONG) CO., LTD. CHINA MANUFACTURING UNIT OF M/S. FONG'S NATIONAL DYEING AND FINISHING MACHINERY (MACAO COMMERCIAL OFFSHORE) CO. LTD. MACAU} (Valid From 01.02.2021)

<u>Decision taken by ITC:</u> The Committee gone through the documents and recommended the enlistment of new subsidiary unit along with necessary amendment as above in Annexure-III.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

<u>Agenda no .12 (Agenda Point No. 8 of 46 meeting of ITC)</u>: Physical Verification report of M/s. Mehala Machines India Limited for enlistment under ATUFS.

With reference to the decision of 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of ITC held on dated 27.04.2022, it was decided to ascertain the manufacturing facilities and to confirm the applicant unit is manufacturer or assembler in order to arrive the eligibility as per ATUFS guidelines. In this connection, RO-Coimbatore vide Letter no. 12(7)/ATUFS/Mach/2017/TUFS/M-23 dated 27.04.2022 was requested to conduct and submit a physical verification report for M/s MehalaMachines India Limited.

In this regard, Shri. A. Rajendran (AD) and Shri. A. Gurusamy (TO) from RO-Coimbatore has visited the unit and submitted observation as follows.

i. The team visited Tirupur office location, confirmed that they are engaged in assembling of additional attachment as per the requirement of buying unit on the industrial sewing machine which were manufactured by prominent international manufacturers and there is no manufacturing facility on the aforesaid premises.

**ii.** Further the team visited the new manufacturing unit at Netaji apparel Park, Avinashi (informedas Sister Concern) as per the request. The unit is in the name of "Orbito Asia Mechatronics Private Limited" and found that they are manufacturing only sewing machine table, band knife cutting machine, spreading machine and boiler for steam ironing.

In view of the above OIC of RO Coimbatore recommended that M/s. Mehala Machines India Limited, situated in the registered office as well as factory at No.36, Harvey Road, Tirupur may not be considered an eligible benchmarked manufacturer under ATUFS, since they do not have adequate/sufficient manufacturing capacity/ facilities and they are only doing trading activity as an agent.

<u>Decision taken by ITC:</u> The Committee reviewed the physical verification report of RO-Coimbatore and accepted the conclusion of the OIC Coimbatore. The Committee did not



recommend the enlistment of M/s Mehala Machines India Limited as textile machine manufacturer under ATUFS.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

# Agenda no .13 (Agenda Point No. 3.2 of 47 ITC): Cases forwarded by TUFS Cell

TUFS Cell forwarded a note no.12 (3620)/JIT/ ATUFS/2022/TUFS/14 dated 02.06.2022 regarding ATUFS claim of M/s. G.M.Syntex Pvt. Ltd, Palghar. The unit purchased 1 no of Soft package precision winding machine (MC2-2) from M/s. SSM AG, Switzerland. The model no mentioned in invoice as "XENO YW DIGICONE 2" where as in name plate it is mentioned as "XENO YW". In this regard, RO Navi Mumbai has provided the clarification along with product catalogue as "XENO YW" is a model precision winding machine for all kinds of staple and filament yarns whereas "DIGICONE2" is one of the key features of the machine winding algorithm enabling 10-20% increase of dye package density with unchanged dyeing recipes.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u> The Committee after going through the documents and the website of the manufacturer observed that the said machine of a higher version for which the manufacturer has a registered trademark and as such the Committee recommended the case for release of subsidy if other ATUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

## Agenda no . 14 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 47 ITC)

ATUFS vide note dated 23.03.2022 forwarded JIT report in respect of M/s Stretchmax Industries, Surat .M/s Stretchmax Industries (Beneficiary Unit) purchased Narrow Width Shuttlelless High Speed Automatic Needle Loom from M/s Shamots International; Surat (enlisted as manufacturer at Sr No-45 Annexure-IV). During JIT it has been noticed that machine plate consists another embossed logo as "KyangYhe". KyangYhe logo pertains to KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co. Ltd.- A Taiwan based company. On clarification sought in this regard from the manufacturer, M/s Shamots International informed that these machines are manufactured by them in the brand of KyangYhe. M/s Shamots International also informed that KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co Ltd has permitted them to use their brand in India, however they did not submit any legal document in this support.

**Decision Taken in 39th meeting ofITC held 24.03.2022:** - The Committee reviewed the documents submitted by the unit i.e., M/s Shamots International, which seems to be the authorized agent of M/s KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co Ltd. Since, only those units which are actually manufacturing benchmarked quality textile machinery are eligible for enlistment under ATUFS, hence the unit may be called to submit clarification to prove their credentials as machinery manufacturer of benchmarked technology with legal documentary proof.

Now M/s Shamots International has submitted below documents in order to prove the manufacturing activity,

- a)- Udyam Aadhar Certificate
- b)- Factory License
- c)- ISO Certificate Copy
- d)- License agreement with KY Kyang- Yhe
- e)- Photos of factories

#### f)- Catalogue

<u>Section note:</u> From the license agreement it is noted that M/s Shamots International is an Assembler of machine from spare parts received from the KyangYhe, Taiwan.

<u>Decision Taken in 44th meeting of ITC held on 09.06.2022</u>: After due deliberation in the matter the committee decided to call for details of the value addition and customization if any, made by M/s Shamots International on the Narrow Width Shuttle Less High-Speed Needle Looms imported in CKD form from KyangYhe, Taiwan.

Now M/s Shamots International vide their letter dated 05.07.2022 and 18.07.2022 submitted their representations for the query raised by this office vide letter dated 22.06.2022 and email dated 15.07.2022 respectively. The unit submission as follows,

- A. Jacquards Manufactured by M/s. Innoweave, Surat and cost of the jacquard is 2 to 5 lakhs depending on number of hooks/machine size. The content of the local purchased jacquard in the final product is in the range of 50% to 75%.
- B. Rubber feeder Manufactured by M/s. Intex, Valsad and cost of the rubber feeder is 20 thousand to 50 thousand depend on grade of attachment /size of the needle loom. The content of the local purchased rubber feeder in the final product is in the range of 10% to 15%.
- C. Double Weft Double Latch Device Cost of the Double Weft Double Latch Device is 50 thousand to 80 thousand depend on number of heads per machine. The content of this item in the final product is in the range of 10% to 15%.
- D. Extension Box Cost of the Extension Box is 50 thousand to 80 thousand depend on size of attachment /size of the needle loom. The content of this item in the final product is in the range of 10% to 15%.
- E. Light /Heavy Knurling Rollers Manufactured by M/s. Intex, Valsad and cost of the light /heavy knurling roller is 10 thousand to 20 thousand depend on size of the needle loom. The content of this item in the final product is in the range of 10% to 15%.

In addition to above details the unit submitted the photo of plain needle looms without attachment and after attachment done by M/s Shamots International. Sample of tapes produced by plain needle looms and designed tapes produced with attachments done by M/s Shamots International.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u> The Committee noted that the unit themselves have given in writing that they are neither manufacturing the machinery nor any of its attachments; they are only assembling the machine. As such the Committee has not recommended release of subsidy in the instant case and also recommended for delisting the machinery manufacture from the Indicative List of Machinery Manufacturers under ATUFS

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee considered that the said company cannot be continued as machinery manufacturer from date of present TAMC. However, a clear decision is to be taken as regards admitting claims upto date of TAMC/ delisting considering that the purchases have been made from enlisted manufacturer only and post facto today's decision, if implemented, may not be judicious. This part may be quickly deliberated in detail and brought back to TAMC detailing on other aspects of benchmark technology etc.

Agenda no . 15 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 48 ITC): Cases forwarded by RO Indore

RO Indore forwarded email dated 02.08.2022 with respect to M/s. RD Weave Tex Pvt. Ltd., Surat regarding manufacturer name M/s. Qingdao Haijia machinery Company Limited, China is not available in the machine name plate and only logo is available. In this regard RO Indore submitted below documents,

- i. Trade mark certificate issued by Trademarks Registry, Mumbai,
- ii. Manufacturer Declaration
- iii. Commercial invoice
- iv. Machine name plate photo

As per the Manufacturer declaration, logo in the name plate is their authentic logo and registered with Trademarks Registry, Mumbai vide registration certificate with trade mark no. 2974075 date 28.05.2015. Further the manufacturer changed logo and registered again with Trademarks Registry, Mumbai vide registration certificate with trade mark no. 3326414 date 02.08.2016. This logo they are using in documents from 2017 to present in their letterhead.

<u>Section Note</u>: Logo in the name plate is available in the old documents submitted by manufacturer in the year of 2016. In reference to the website of the manufacturer (<a href="http://www.qdhaijia.com">http://www.qdhaijia.com</a>) both the logo's are reflecting in their website and stated that they are having total of 12 registered trademarks (7 domestic and 5 International). However, in the commercial invoice issued by them there is no logo mentioned.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u>- The Committee after scrutinizing the documents observed that both the logos are not appearing in the Commercial Invoice issued by the Manufacturer and as such did not consider the request of the unit.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

### Agenda no . 16 (Agenda Point No. 3.1 of 48 ITC): Cases forwarded by TUFS Cell

TUFS Cell forwarded a note no.12 (3597)/JIT/ ATUFS/2022/TUFS/146 dated 05.07.2022 regarding ATUFS claim of M/s. Tailors Creation India Pvt. Ltd ,Erode. The unit purchased 1 set of PLC Based Compacting Machine for Knitted fabrics (MC2-64) from M/s. SINTEC, Italy. The model no mentioned in invoice as "TOPKNIT A-3 SUPERCOMPACT" where as in name plate it is mentioned as "A3-500". In the JIT report they mentioned both. In this regard, the manufacturer submitted the clarification as due to space constraint the model no written in the machine name plate as "A3-500" which is the short form of "TOPKNIT A-3 SUPERCOMPACT"

<u>Decision Taken in 47<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC dated 28.07.2022:</u> The committee after due diligence in the matter, decided to call for the Technical Literature / catalogue of the said machine and also clarification for the abbreviation "A3-500".

Now, the manufacturer submitted the clarification for this office email dated 29.07.2022 as 500 is cylinder diameter and A3 is machine series as per product catalogue. Due to lack of space they had written as "A3-500". In addition to that, the unit submitted technical literature/ product catalogue and technical drawing sheet.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u> The Committee reviewed the documents submitted by the unit and could not establish any co-relation between the machine model name and its abbreviation.

BUNIT

Further, the said machine model A3-500 is not even seen in the manufacturer website as well as technical literature / brochure available on their website. Hence, the Committee did not recommend this case.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC.

<u>Agenda no . 17 (Agenda Point No. 3.2 of 48 ITC)</u>: Authorized Agent of the Parent manufacturer issued invoice for the machine manufactured by subsidiary unit.

TUFS Cell forwarded a note no 12(2805)/JIT/ATUFS/2019/TUFS/47 regarding M/s. Centex International Pvt. Ltd., (TUFS Ref. No. ATUFS/2017-18/735) purchased machine MC 04-38 (Computerized High Speed Fully Fashioned Flat Bed Knitted Garment Manufacturing Machine).

- 1. Name of manufacturer in the name plate of machine M/s. Stoll Knitting Machines (Shanghai) Co. Ltd., China which is the subsidiary Manufacturing unit of M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO. KG, Germany.
- 2. Invoice issued M/s. Stoll Financial Services GMBH, Germany is authorized agent of M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO. KG, Germany which is enlisted Annex III at Sr. no. 10.
- 3. COO issued by Industrie UND Handelskammer, Reutlingen (Chamber of Commerce and Industry) mentioning country of origin as "P.R. China".

ATUFS Section requested for confirming the eligibility of the claimed machine. The copy of Invoice, COO and Name plate photos are submitted.

<u>Decision Taken by ITC</u>: The Committee directed TMB/TD Section to inform the status as per records on file in the matter. The status of the case as per record is as given below:

M/s. Stoll Financial Services GMBH, Germany a subsidiary unit of M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO KG is enlisted as authorized agent of M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO. KG, Germany under ATUFS. Further, M/s. Stoll Knitting Machines (Shanghai) Co. Ltd., China has been enlisted as subsidiary Manufacturing unit of M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO. KG, Germany as per the decision of 32<sup>nd</sup> meeting of ITC dated 09.12.2021.

In view of the above, the Committee decided that since M/s. Stoll Knitting Machines (Shanghai) Co., Ltd, China is the subsidiary manufacturing unit of M/s. M/s. H. Stoll AG & CO. KG, Germany and M/s. Stoll Financial Services GMBH, Germany is their group company authorized to raise invoice as authorized agent. Hence, invoice raised by M/s. Stoll Financial Services GMBH, Germany may be accepted for their subsidiary unit also. As regards to their COO matter a separate Committee to decide the issue has been constituted, hence, it may be taken up with them separately.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC

<u>Agenda no . 18 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 48 meeting of ITC)</u>: Request from M/s. Surat Texmac Federation

M/s. Surat Texmac Federation vide letter dated 16.03.2022 requested this office to submit their representations on ATUFS registration, queries on old scheme & its extension and new government policies. Also, they requested this office to incorporate as a member for internal technical committee.

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

Page 12 of 26



In view of the above and as per A.TX.C instruction, email sent to unit on 22.04.2022 and 10.05.2022. Now association confirmed their physical participation via email dated 10.05.2022 during the meeting.

<u>Submission made by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation before committee:</u> The representatives of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation, Shri Pranav Mehta, Vice President and Shri MahendraKukadia themselves importers of foreign manufactured Textile Machinery, have informed the committee that the looms purchased from China are mostly reconditioned machines, which are refurbished in China and being imported in India as new machines. Accordingly they have requested that the JIT of the units who have applied under ATUFS Scheme should be carried out within a time period of 90 days so that it will be easier to identify the reconditioned machines. They have also proposed to send their representatives to be a part of the JIT to help identify such reconditioned machines

Decision taken in 42nd meeting of ITC dated 12.05.2022: The Committee apprised the representatives that due to the prevalent Covid situation and shortage of staff, there is a huge backlog of JITs requests from units which are pending since long. However, the committee assured them that a recommendation will be sent to the competent authority to include the representatives of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation in the JIT as a invitee henceforth for Surat Region. The committee also recommends for sending a team of officers who have to be shown the some of the units identified by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation, to have received such refurbished Looms so as a protocol for identification of refurbished machine may be proposed. The committee also requested M/s. Surat Texmac Federation to submit details of such units in writing to this office within a week time. The recommended that a representative of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation may be associated with JIT for the units related to claim of shuttlelless loom from china.

As per the 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of ITC decision this office requested M/s. Surat Texmac Federation for submission of report vide email dated 07.07.2022 and reminder dated 15.07.2022 & 26.07.2022. Further this office informed vide email dated 21.07.2022 regarding visit of Expert Committee on 29.07.2022 constituted for identify refurbished looms and protocol for near future.

Now M/s. Surat Texmac Federation vide email dated 28.07.2022 informed that they have not given any statement in any meeting before any committee. In addition to that their association will assist in identification few suppliers doing such mal practices and requested this office to visit china for supplier inspection.

<u>Section Note</u>: Based on the letter from M/s. Surat Texmac Federation, the expert committee not carried out inspection along with M/s. Surat Texmac Federation as per the 42<sup>nd</sup> ITC decision dated 12.05.2022. Screenshots of the 42<sup>nd</sup> ITC meeting attended physically by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation representatives were enclosed.

Decision Taken by ITC: The representatives of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation had made the submissions before the ITC members during its meeting held on 12.05.2022 and based on their submission a High Level Committee was deputed to Surat to visit the units to be shown by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation as having installed reconditioned looms. The minutes of the 42th meeting ofITC held on 12/05/2022 was also uploaded on this Office website on 10/06/2022 for public view. This office was also in constant touch of the Federation and requesting them to submit the name of the units where such reconditioned machines have been installed. However, when the Committee reached Surat and contacted vide email dt. 28.07.2022 informed that they have not given any statement in any meeting before any ITC. This kind of backing out by the Federation has not only led to financial loss to the

34 100

Page 13 of 26

Government of India, but also loss of valuable resources and time. As such, the Federation and its office bearers including Shri Pranav Mehta, Vice President and Shri MahendraKukadia should be blacklisted and debarred from getting any consideration in future.

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The Committee decided to call the explanation from Federation in this regards and issue warning to Shri Pranav Mehta, Vice President and Shri Mahendra Kukadia individually and also placing it on the Office of Textile Commissioner website.

<u>Agenda no . 19 (Agenda Point No. 5 of 48 meeting of ITC )</u>: Case of M/s Global Impex, M/s Itochu and M/s Toyota

The matter was discussed in 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC meeting held on 30.08.2021 vide Agenda No. 26(d) and it was decided to refer the case to TMB section to align the specific enlistment of the manufacturer viz. M/s Toyota (Annexure-III Sr No-405), M/s Itochu Systech (Annexure-III Sr No-72) and M/s Global Impex Limited (Annexure-III Sr No-115)

Subsequently TMB section has placed the matter in front of 28<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting held on 12.10.2021 vide Agenda No. 9 (2).

<u>Decision Taken in 28<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC:</u> The Committee is of the opinion that the Invoice raised between the sub-agent and the beneficiary unit may be called, and establish the proper Channel of sale.

As per ITC Decision, ATUFS section of Head Office units has been asked to submit particular invoice copies which are mentioned in Certificate of Origin (COO).

RO Mumbai vide letter ref no- 3(658)/2020/ATUFS/ ROM/Policy/Vol.V/2017 dated 25.01.2022 and Email received from ATUFS regarding JIT Report in respect M/s. Dhwani Exim, Surat TUFS ref. no. ATUFS/2016-17/284 of RO- Ahmedabad.

Reply for case details presented by RO Navi Mumbai: The RO has forwarded reply from the authorized agent M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan with declaration. As per declaration by the machine manufacturer i.e. M/s Toyota Industries Corporation Japan and his authorized agent i.e. M/s Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan that the Invoice No. mentioned in the Certificate of Origin (COO) have been issued by the authorized agent i.e. M/s Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan and the machines are supplied to the beneficiaries through their marketing channel M/s Global Impex Limited.

Further it is also informed by the authorized agent i.e. M/s Itochu SysTech Corporation; Japan that as the machines are not supplied to the beneficiary units directly, therefore, it is against their business policies and trade secrets to provide the copy of the said invoices.

Reply for case details presented by RO Ahmedabad: Similarly, the RO received reply from the M/s Global Impex Limited, and accordingly the machines are not directly supplied to beneficiary units by M/s Itochu therefore it is against their business policies and trade secrets to provide the copy of said invoices.

Further they informed that the invoice no. mentioned in COO is invoice no raised by M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan to M/s Global Impex Limited. Also, they mentioned 3 % commission between them.

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

34118

Page 14 of 26

<u>Section Note:</u> As per available MOU in this office records, there is no commission percentage mentioned between M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan to M/s Global Impex Limited, however as per declaration letter shared by RO- Ahmadabad- 3% commission is mentioned.

Decision taken in 37<sup>th</sup>meeting of ITC dated 24.02.2022: The Committee opined that Authorized agent i.e., M/s Itochu may be asked to submit invoices or justification alongwith copy of legal binding for non-submission of invoices. Additionally, a clarification may be asked from M/s Itochu on difference in commission mentioned in the original MOU, wherein no commission is indicated for selling machines through their sub-agent viz. M/s. Global Impex, whereas their sub-agent M/s Global Impex has now stated that they are getting 3% commission, which is contradictory to MOU submitted by them.

As per decision, this office vide e-mail dated 07.03.2022 requested to RO Navi Mumbai for necessary action in regards to collect the clarification on both the points. The reply from unit is awaited.

<u>Decision taken in 38<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC dated 10.03.2022:</u> Since, the reply from the M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan is still awaited, hence, the Committee is in the opinion that the matter maybe deferred until the receipt of reply from the M/s. Itochu.

<u>Section Note:</u> ThisOffice vide Letter no. 12(7)/ATUFS/Machinery/2017/I-16/05 dated 4.05.2022 requested M/s. Itochu Systech Corporation either to submit invoice copies of respective claims or proper justification alongwith legal binding document for non-submission of the same.

In addition, clarification on commission percentage as mentioned in declaration submitted by M/s. Global Impex is varying 2% to 3% whereas no commission is mentioned in the MOU submitted in this office during enlistment. Ro Ahmedabad was also approached for getting reply from M/s. Itochu Systech Corporation vide email dated 18.05.2022, 23.06.2022 and 30.06.2022. M/s. Itochu was again reminded through email dated 06.07.2022 for the clarification.

The clarification/reply from M/s. Itochu is yet awaited from all the channels.

<u>Decision Taken in 46<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC held on 19.07.2022</u>: The Committee opined that M/s Itochu Systech, Japan may be called to submit their representation on queries raised by the ITC within 21 days from the date of reminder letter. Accordingly, the case will be decided on merit in future ITC, hence deferred.

Now M/s. Itochu replied vide email dated 27.07.2022 for the query raised by this office on 22.07.2022 declared that that there is no commission involved from M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation to M/s. Global Impex Ltd and as per their business policy they are not disclosing any shipping related documents between them to third party.

<u>Decision Taken in 47<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC held on 28.07.2022:</u> The Committee directed ATUFS Cell to provide the comparison data, machine wise / specification wise for the Toyota looms purchased directly from M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation and purchased through their agent M/s. Global Impex Ltd, in order to arrive at conclusion.

Now ATUFS cell replied as "specification wise comparison data not available in i- TUFS for front end users" against TMB section note dated 29.07.2022 regarding 47<sup>th</sup> ITC decision.

3414/80

<u>Decision Taken by ITC:</u> The Committee noted that M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation has confirmed that there is no commission agreed between M/s. ITOCHU Systech Corporation and M/s. Global Impex Limited. Whereas, M/s. Global Impex Ltd have submitted a declaration that they are charging 3% commission from M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation.

In view of the above, the Committee opined that the existing pending cases for release of subsidy for machines purchased from M/s. Global Impex Ltd, UAE should be cleared after deducting 5% commission (i.e., 2% commission between M/s Toyota Industries Corporation Japan and their authorized agent i.e., M/s Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan and 3 % commission between M/s. Itochu SysTech Corporation and its sub-agent M/s. Global Impex Ltd ). The Committee also suggested that since submission of sub-agent M/s. Global Impex Ltd not found in conformity of statement submitted by Prime selling agent of M/s Toyota Industries Corporation, Japan and continuing the enlistment of such sub-agent can lead to over invoicing hence, the enlistment of M/s. Global Impex Ltd, UAE may be delisted from the indicative list of machinery manufacturer / authorized agents under ATUFS. As of now another sub-agent registered under ATUFS is M/s. Global Trade Well Pte Ltd, Singapore of M/s Itochu SysTech Corporation, Japan.

In view of above, TAMC may take a decision on delisting both the above mentioned subagents namely M/s. Global Impex Ltd, UAE and M/s. Global Trade Well Pte Ltd, Singapore.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of ITC to delist the sub-agent i.e., M/s M/s. Global Impex Ltd., UAE and M/s. Global Trade Well Pte Ltd with effect from the date of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC i.e.,14.09.2022. Committee also ratified the recommendations of ITC to settle the existing cases by deducting 5% commission and not to consider the cases where invoice date is after the dated of 14.09.2022.

# <u>Supplementary agenda based on Minutes of the 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Committee for deciding</u> issues related to Country of Origin as per ATUFS

In pursuant to Office Order No.12(7)/31<sup>st</sup> TAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/18 dated 05.09.2022 regarding constitution of Committee for deciding the issues pertaining to Country of Origin/Certificate of Origin as per ATUFS. The 1<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Committee was convened on 12-09-2022 from 03.00 P.M onwards through video conference (VC), under the Chairmanship of Shri V.K. Kohli, Joint Textile Commissioner. The agenda points, which were discussed and decisions taken thereof are as under: -

<u>Sub Agenda Point No-01: (Agenda No. 3 of 29<sup>th</sup> TAMC Meeting):</u>Clarification on identification whether Shuttleless looms is new or old which is not imported under EPCG and claimed under ATUFS.

In 25<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC - agenda no. 6(ii) it has been decided that in cases where Name of machine manufacturer is mentioned in COO as third party / 'via'/ "on behalf" where import of machine is not covered under EPCG scheme may not be considered under ATUFS as there is a possibility that such procured machine(s) is either second hand or refurbished, which is not permissible under ATUFS.

The Surat Texmac Federation vide their letter dated 12.02.2022, denoted the Customs notification 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 to put forth and ascertain the imported machines are new Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

Page 16 of 26



or old at the time of import. The provisions of Customs under the notification 50/2017 are detailed below: -

S.	HSN Code		New Machinery		Used machinery	
No.		machine	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD
1	84463090	Shutlleless (waterjet/Rapier/ Airjet) looms	Sr. No.460(i) of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%
2	84481900	Elect. Jacq. / Jacq (300rpm and above)	Sr. No.461A of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%

Agenda was again placed before 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC. However, it was deferred as the matter needed examination and verification of the interpretation of the Customs notification.

Accordingly, this office vide letter dated 20.05.2022 requested Customs Department to confirm whether the description of the machinery and applicable Sr. No. of customs notification as mentioned in Bill of Entry or any other document can be used to establish that the imported goods are New or Used one. In this regard, Customs Department has clarified that: -

- (i) <u>For used machines</u>: to ascertain the imported machinery is used, inspection / appraisement reports issued by the chartered engineer or their equivalent, based in the country of sale of the used machinery accepted by the Customs as per the provisions of CBIC vide circular No.07/2020-Cus dated 05.02.2020.
- (ii) <u>For New Machines:</u> The assessment is done on the basis of declaration made by the importer in Bill of Entry and supporting documents as per the serial No. of the Notification No.50/2017-Cus dated 30.06.2017 with proper examination, if examination is prescribed by the Risk Management System (RMS).

In view of the above, the Customs Department has confirmed that the description of the machinery and applicable Sr. No. of customs notifications as mentioned in the Bill of Entry and its supporting documents can be used to establish that the imported machine is New or Used.

The customs department has also furnished the applicable Sr. No. and applicable tax of used / new machines for Shutlle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard of the customs notification 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 which is reproduced as under: -

S.	HSN Code		New Machinery	New Machinery		
No.		machine	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD
1	84463090	Shutlleless (waterjet/Rapier/ Airjet) looms	Sr. No.460(i) of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%
2	84481900		Sr. No.461A of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%



It was informed that apart from other applicable standard duties, additional 5% BCD is charged to the Used /Old imported machineries. For new machineries the applicable BCD is 0%.

Section Note: As per the confirmation of Customs Department, in the Bill of Entry if 5% BCD has been charged then the imported Shuttle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard machine may be considered as Used/Old and cases where 0%BCD charged in the Bill of Entry may be treated as New.

TAMC may deliberate and decide the issue for Shuttle less loom and electronic jacquard only for Machine purchased after the notification 01<sup>st</sup> July 2017 subject to fulfillment of credit linkage requirement and other conditions permissible under GRs, policy decisions etc.

<u>Decision taken by 29<sup>th</sup> meeting TAMC:</u> -Members from FIASWI, SIMA suggested to accept the clarification of Customs for New and second-hand machinery and cases may be processed based on shipping documents provided.

Addl. Textile Commissioner Shri S.P. Verma has informed that matter may be decided very carefully as it is based on self certification of entity and customs has not certified anything.

Addl. Textile Commissioner Shri S.P. Verma has further added that a committee under the chairmanship of Jt. Textile Commissioner Shri Vipan Kohli has been constituted to examine and submit its report on the issues raised by TEXMAC in their representation. The same Committee will examine the representation. The said committee will examine clarification of Customs for New and second-hand machinery and processing of claims based on the shipping documents.

Chairperson also appealed to the industry to provide information on the matter where industry is purchasing used machine without declaring in Bill of Entry and customs is allowing.

Hence, agenda is deferred for the report of the Committee of Jt. Textile Commissioner Shri Vipan Kohli.

<u>Submission:</u> The committee constituted for Surat Texmac agenda as per 42<sup>nd</sup> ITC meeting had not attended the agenda based on letter received from Surat Texmac dated 28.07.2022. Hence the Committee constituted for COO may take necessary deliberation.

ATUFS cell submitted below documents regarding the subject:

- 1. Surat Texmac representation letter
- 2. Custom notifications no 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017
- 3. Sample copies of Bill of Entry for old and new machines
- 4. Clarification letter received from Custom department

Decision taken by Committee: The Committee examined all the documents provided by ATUFS Cell. Since there is no provision/instrument available to establish whether machine is new or old purchased under non EPCG, hence, the custom certificate based on applicable BCD i.e., 0% as per custom notification no 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 may be taken as reference. The Committee accepted the Custom clarification letter dated 25.05.2022 which states to use the custom certificate and other supporting documents to establish that imported machine is new or old. In addition, the Committee opined that the Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC



beneficiary (mentioned as importer in Custom clarification letter dated 25.05.2022) may be requested to provide copy of documents which were submitted to custom department to establish that the machine is new or old. Additionally, a Government notified charter engineer certificate, certifying that the machines are brand new with vintage period and

Decision of 31st meeting of TAMC: The TAMC ratified the recommendations of the Committee constituted for COO to consider the cases which were not imported under EPCG schemeand Name of machine manufacturer is mentioned in COO as "third party" / 'via'/ "on behalf" of machines on submission of copy of documents which were submitted to custom department to establish that the machine is new or old. Additionally, a Government notified charter engineer certificate, certifying that the machines are brand new with vintage period and residual life.

The decision is applicable for Shuttle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard only for the codesmentioned in the above table.

Sub Agenda Point No-02: (Sub Agenda no. 10.01 of 29th TAMC): Cases forwarded by

1. The ATUFS Cell have vide email dt. 20/7/2021 requested to place this matter in forthcoming ITC where a manufacturer has two authorized agents (both enlisted) however machine supplied

The details are as below: -

1-Invoice issued by -M/s Zee Yeng Company Ltd.

2-MoU b/w Manufacturer and supplier - M/s Zee Yeng Company Ltd is authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd China.

3- Exporter name as per COO-M/s Suzhou Flaming Company Ltd. China authorized agents of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd China

4- Name mentioned on machine- M/s Runshan Knitting Machine

As per 3.2. of GR dated 29.02.2016 "Machinery purchased directly from the machine manufacturers or their authorized agents will be considered for benefits under the scheme", and Para 3.3 of RR dated 02.08.2018 "Machinery purchased directly from the machine manufacturers or their authorized agents/suppliers will be eligible for capital subsidy under the scheme" while para 10.2.2. of RR dated 02.08.2018 "Only new machinery shall be installed Second had machinery will not be permitted under the scheme. Machine shall be purchased directly from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) or this authorized agent / Supplier. In the latter case, a document showing that the Agent / Supplier is authorized by the manufacturer shall be required with the invoice". However the as per the document it is not justified that machine has been purchased as per para mentioned above in GR and RR.

Hence, the matter is placed for decision of acceptability of COO if different name is depicted other than supplier in issued invoice.

Decision Taken in 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of ITC held on 22.07.2021: The Committee noted that this is not a technical issue. The Committee also noted that any name depicted in country-of-origin

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

BONIE

Page 19 of 26

certificate, other than the manufacturer or authorized agent (who raised the invoice) should not be accepted. Still if the OEM confirm and submit reasonable justification for such arrangement, then ATUFS may decide issue based on genuineness of the certificate obtained by ATUFS Cell from OEM. Now, TUFS cell replied vide note dated 17.05.2022 along with letter from RO Ahmedabad in view of the above.

# Submission of RO Ahmedabad:

This office has received a representation from applicant unit for reconsideration of their claim and comments based on that representation as below.

a). As per para 3.3. RR dated 02.08.2018 the machinery purchased from authorized agents.

In this case, invoice has been issued by M/s Zee Yeng Company Limited. which is authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company) and enlisted at Sr no. 55 in annex V under ATUFS. It is pertinent to state here that exporter name mentioned on COO is M/s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited is also authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company) and enlisted at Sr no. 54 in annex V under

ATUFS. In view of the above, it is substantiated that claimed machines have been purchase through authorized agents as described in para 3.3 of RR dt. 02.08.2018.

b). As per para 10.2.2 of RR dated 02.08.2018 only new machinery shall be installed, second machinery will not be permitted under the scheme

In this case machine Model no. and serial number are engraved on name plate and is legible in photographs submitted with JIT documents and confirmed by manufacturer. However registered trade mark/ registered Logo is also available on the machine which belongs to M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company).

- c). In support of their representation unit submitted below documents,
  - i. Trademark logo registration certificate of Manufacturer Company with translation,
  - ii. Declaration for confirming brand new machines and trade practice in china
  - iii. Letter from beneficiary unit
  - iv. Invoice copy and packing list
  - v. COO
  - vi. MOU and Role of agents

By observing all the documents submitted by applicant unit, the fact may be established that claimed machines are new brand and supplied by authorized agents as per para 10.2.2 of RR dt. 02.08.2018. Hence eligible for capital subsidy under ATUFS. Competent Authority may reconsider the case in view of facts explained above.

Section Note: Certificate (Received from R.O, Ahmadabad) issued & signed by machinery manufacturer and two authorized agents on their letterhead is not available in this section records. Both agents are enlisted in Annexure - V under ATUFS as authorized agents with similar commission percentage of 0.5%.

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

It is observed from submitted documents that name mentioned in invoice & packing list as M/s Zee Yeng Company Limited and COO issued by M /s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited. Since only Chinese company can issue COO hence M /s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited issued COO being authorized agent within China.

<u>Decision Taken in 43<sup>rd</sup> ITC meeting</u>: The matter related to COO doesn't fall under the purview of ITC as it is not a technical matter. It is a policy decision; hence ATUFS section may take suitable decision in this matteras per direction of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC dated 13.04.2022.

<u>Decision Taken by 29<sup>th</sup>TAMC:</u>The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC and directed that the Committee which will be constituted for deciding all COO matters may look into this case also and submit its finding before next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The Committee deliberated the case in detail and noted that both the authorized agents for same manufacturer are enlisted under ATUFS. The Committee accepted the clarification provided by the manufacturer and recommend the case for consideration.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of Committee constituted for COO.

<u>Sub Agenda Point No-03:</u> (Sub Agenda no. 10.03 of 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC): TUFS Cell has vided Note dt. 23/05/2022 forwarded the case of M/s. MS Printing Solutions, Italy who issued COO for their Digital Inkjet Printing machines along with Self declaration. The certificates of origin for the said machines were issued by the Manufacturer himself.

TMB/TDS section had requested the unit to clarify the Rule position / policy of the exporting country and the competent authority which authorizes the manufacturer to issue such self certified COO as per 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of TAMC held on 30.08.2021.

The Manufacture has submitted documents in reference to the email query. Accordingly, as decided in the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC held on 20.10.2021 the matter is placed before the ITC for a decision in the matter.

<u>Decision Taken in 43<sup>rd</sup> meeting of ITC</u>: The matter related to COO doesn't fall under the purview of ITC as it is not a technical matter. It is a policy decision; hence ATUFS section may take suitable decision in this matter as per direction of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC dated 13.04.2022

<u>Decision Taken by 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC:</u> The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC and directed that the Committee which will be constituted for deciding all COO matters may look into this case also and submit its finding before next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The matter required more deliberation; hence, the Committee deferred the case for the next meeting.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee noted the decision of Committee constituted for COO.

3411 6

Page 21 of 26

## Sub Agenda Point No-04: (Sub Agenda no. 03 of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC):

TUFS Cell has vide Note dt. 13/01/22 forwarded the case of a unit who has purchased Weft Inserted Warp Knitting Machine from M/s. Karl Mayer. The machine was dispatched in two parts with two invoices, one part from Germany and one part from China. For both the invoices, Country of Origin is issued by the machine manufacturer. M/s. Karl Mayer vide letter dt. 26/11/2021 has clarified that according to European Law, registration under Registered Exporter System (REX) is not required for exports from the EU or China to India because it is only provided as under:

- Under some free trade agreement (FTAs),
- In the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) of the European Union and
- In trade in goods with the Overseas Countries and Territories.

In all other cases the machine manufacturers are allowed to self certify the COO. For new machines, a certificate of origin issued by the German Chamber of Industry and Commerce can be issued if required.

The 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC has decided that all such matters may be deliberated in the Internal Technical Committee and then placed before TAMC for deciding acceptability on a case to case basis.

**Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC:** After discussion in the matter the committee decided that the manufacturer may either get a certificate from the German Chamber of Commerce or get the COO countersigned by the German Chamber of Commerce or any other competent authority. The said recommendation of ITC may be forward to TAMC for its concurrence.

<u>Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC:</u> The Committee opined to hold further deliberation on the subject matter with manufacturer/ association. Hence, the agenda is to be deferred for the next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The matter required more deliberation; hence, the Committee deferred the case for the next meeting.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee noted the decision of Committee constituted for COO.

Sub Agenda Point No-05: (Sub Agenda no. 04 of 27th meeting of TAMC):

TUFS Cell has vide Note dt. 04/01/2022 forwarded the case of M/s. Shrishti Apparels who has purchased Textile manufacturing machines from M/s. Tubular Textile Machinery Inc. USA. The certificates of origin for the said machines were issued by the Manufacturer himself. The Manufacture has submitted certain documents in respect of the Rule position / policy of the exporting country with regard to COO. Accordingly, as decided in the 24<sup>th</sup> TAMC the matter is placed before the Internal Technical Committee for a decision in the matter.

<u>Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup>meeting ofITC:</u> After discussion in the matter the committee decided that the manufacturer may either get a certificate from the Indo American trade chamber of the respective country or get the COO countersigned by them or any other competent authority. The said recommendation of ITC may be forward to TAMC for its concurrence.

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

341118

Page 22. of 26

<u>Decision of 27<sup>th</sup>meeting of TAMC:</u> The committee opined to hold further deliberation on the subject matter with manufacturer/ association. Hence, the agenda is to be deferred for next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The Committee deliberated on the matter and decided to retain the decision taken in 35<sup>th</sup>meeting of ITC. The Committee also opined that ATUFS cell may call the manufacturer/supplier to submit certificate/countersigned COO from respective Chamber of Commerce as per the above decision within 30 days from date of the query.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the decision of Committee constituted for COO.

# Sub Agenda Point No-06: (Sub Agenda no. 07 of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC):

R.O. Kolkata have vide letter dt. 03/01/2022 forwarded the case of M/s. Lux Industries Ltd who has purchased high speed circular knitting machines from M/s. United Texmac Pte. Ltd., Singapore. The certificates of origin for the said machines were issued by the Manufacturer himself. The Manufacturer has further clarified that "The Certificate of Origin issued by the beneficiary issued by (United Texmac Pte Ltd). This shipment was dated 13/10/2019. Chamber Singapore not allowed to apply the COO, which the shipment have shipped after 3 months, so we are unable to re-issued the chamber COO"

<u>Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup> meeting ofITC:</u> After discussion in the matter the committee decided that the manufacturer may get the COO countersigned the Singapore Chamber of Commerce or any other competent authority. The said recommendation of ITC may be forward to TAMC for its concurrence.

<u>Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC:</u> The Committee opined to hold further deliberation on the subject matter with manufacturer/ association. Hence, the agenda is to be deferred for the next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The Committee deliberated on the matter and decided to retain the decision taken in 35<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC. The committee also opined that ATUFS cell may call the manufacturer/supplier to submit certificate/countersigned COO from respective Chamber of Commerce as per above decision within 30 days from date of the query.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the decision of committee constituted for COO.

Sub Agenda Point No-07: (Sub Agenda no. 08 of 27th meeting of TAMC):

R.O.Navi Mumbai has vide email dt. 26/1/2022 forwarded a case where the machine supplier i.e. the authorized agent has himself issued the Certificate of Origin (COO). As decided in the 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC meeting the unit was asked to clarify the rule position / policy of the exporting country and the competent authority which authorizes the manufacturer to issue self- certified COO. The units reply is as under:

3 de rutos

- 1. As per the bank format, the bank asked supplier to provide Certificate of Japan origin issued by beneficiary (point No. 2, clause F46A of LC) Flag 'A'
- 2. Accordingly, the supplier issued Certificate of Origin and the same was accepted by the exporting country (JAPAN) while exporting goods and importing country (INDIA) while clearing goods. Thus it was well accepted throughout the importing procedure and no query raised by any Government Institution.
- 3. Later on, as per decision taken in the 23<sup>rd</sup> TAMC meetings units have approached supplier with the query letter to explain the position / policy
- The supplier has over email has confirmed that there is no Rule in Japan that the shipper cannot issue certificate of origin.
- As per the norms of Chamber of Commerce Japan, COO can be issued by Chamber not later than 90 days from the B/L date

As per rules and other literature present on the website of Osaka Chamber of Commerce

- 1. Proof of Origin as issued by the Chamber of Commerce is in addition to or is a third party confirmation of the self-certification, which can be done by supplier.
- 2. Osaka chamber of commerce issued COO only to prove the nationality of the production.
- 3. In addition, for certificates of origin made privately by the exporter himself / herself to prove and issue, they will accept the signature of the documents by 'Sign Certificate'. 'Self Certified Certificate of Origin is an eligible document for Sign Certificate.
- 4. Certificate of origin can be given only if application is made before shipment of goods or at most the certificate can be issued within 90 days of shipment.
- 5. If applicant need certificate of origin after this period, they can only be issued SIGN CERTIFICATE.

Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup>meeting ofITC: After going through the documents submitted by the unit the committee decided that the unit should get the COO countersigned the Osaka Chamber Of Commerce. The said recommendation of ITC may be forward to TAMC for its concurrence.

<u>Decision of 27<sup>th</sup>meeting of TAMC:</u> The Committee opined to hold further deliberation on the subject matter with manufacturer/ association. Hence, the agenda is to be deferred for the next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The matter required more deliberation; hence, the committee deferred the case for the next meeting.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee noted the decision of committee constituted for COO.

# Sub Agenda Point No-08: (Sub Agenda no. 08 of 27th TAMC):

TUF Cell forwarded letter from RO- Noida letter dated 30.12.2021 vide ref no-11(1460)/2020/ATUFS/RON/431 reg Certificate of Origin of M/s Juki Singapore PTE Ltd (Supplier) in reference of subsidy claim of M/s RMX Joss Fashions Pvt. Ltd. and M/s R.C. Apparels (Beneficiary Units).

M/s Juki Singapore PTE Ltd has issued self-certified COO in place of Chamber of Commerce & Industries or Trade promotional Counsel or authority of particular country. Accordingly, M/s Juki Singapore PTE Ltd has submitted rule position of the exporting country.

The similar case in respect to M/s Pegasus Sewing Machinery PTE Ltd, Singapore is also attached along with.

Minutes of 31stmeeting of TAMC

3412) 80

Page 24 of 26

<u>Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup>meeting of ITC for similar cases is reproduced:</u> After discussion in the matter the committee decided that the manufacturer may get the COO countersigned the Singapore Chamber of Commerce or any other competent authority. The said recommendation of ITC may be forward to TAMC for its concurrence.

<u>Decision Taken in 36<sup>th</sup>meeting ofITC:</u> The committee deferred the matter till TAMC direction in similar cases.

<u>Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC:</u> The committee opined to hold further deliberation on the subject matter with manufacturer/ association. Hence, the agenda is to be deferred for next TAMC.

<u>Decision taken by the Committee:</u> The Committee deliberated on the matter and decided to retain the decision taken in 35<sup>th</sup> meeting of ITC. The Committee also opined that ATUFS cell may call the manufacturer/supplier to submit certificate/countersigned COO from respective Chamber of Commerce as per above decision within 30 days from date of the query.

Decision of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of TAMC: The Committee ratified the decision of Committee constituted for COO.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

24/11/00

## List of attendees

No.	Name and organisation
1.	Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner - In Chair
2.	Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General, O/o TxC, Mumbai
3.	Shri S P Verma, Additional Textile Commissioner, O/o TxC, Mumbai
4.	Shri Ajay Pandit, Joint Textile Commissioner, ROTxC, Noida
5.	Shri Iqbal Ahmad, Director, O/o TxC, Mumbai
6.	Ms. Avni Gupta, Deputy Director, O/c TxC, Mumbai
7.	Shri Saurabh Kumar Sinha, Deputy Director ROTxC, Ahmedabad.
8.	Shri N.K. Singh, Assistant Director, O/o TxC, Mumbai
9.	Shri. Narottam Kumar, Assistant Director, O/o TxC, Mumbai
10.	Shri. Santosh Pakhre, Assistant Director, O/o TxC, Mumbai
11.	Shri Bharat Gandhi Chairman, FIASWI, Surat
12.	Dr. Anup Rakshit E.D., Indian Technical Textile Association (ITTA), Mumbai
13.	Shri Charanjeev Singh President, Ludhiana Knitwear Club, Ludhiana
14.	Shri Vijay GawdeBTRA, Mumbai
15.	Shri Sachin Kumar E.D. Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association, Mumbai
16.	Shri S Marques, Synthetic and Rayon Textiles Promotion Council, Mumbai
17.	Ms. Chandrima, Confederation of Indian Textile Industry, New Delhi
18.	Dr. K. Selvaraju S.G., The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore
19.	Ms. Saida Patel, and Shri Saurabh Kumar AEPC
20.	Shri Vishwanath Agarwal, PDEXCIL, Mumbai
21.	Shri Avinash Magdum, PDEXCIL, Mumbai
22.	Shri Manoj Srivastava TUFS Cell, SIDBI
23.	Shri Sashi Kumar Menon IWMF.
24.	TO AND THE WAR AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
25.	Shri R.Ramesh TUFS Cell, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI),  Ms. Jhumadeb, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI),
26.	Representative of , TUFS Cell, State Bank of India, Mumbai
27.	Shri Mukesh Kumar, TUFS Cell, Bank of India, Mumbai
28.	Shri AzadKaustubh, TUFS Cell, Bank of Baroda, Mumbai
20	Chair Daily Coll, Dally of Baroda, Mumbai
29.	Shri Rajiv Ranjan, Bank of Baroda.

712

# LOIVA LOIVA

Automated Machinery & Various special Attachments

BENEFICIARY LOIVA 7, OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI, GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA

MANUFACTURER LOIVA 7, OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI, GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA

#### TO WHOME SO EVER IT MAY CONCERN

This is declared by us that below is the machine Serial No. format for our ST-12 INDUSTRIAL SEWING MACHINE ATTACHMENT DIGITALLY CONTROLLED BUTTON FEEDER ATTACHMENT.

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
J	K.	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
S	Т	U	V	W	X	Y .	Z	
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
1	2 6	4	N	E 0	8	5		

• 1264: It's fixed number

• N: It means YEAR (i.e. 2014)

• E: It means MONTH (i.e. MAY)

• 085: It means order of the serial no. nameplate. It starts from 001.

For and on behalf of

LOIVA

N-W. 2

Anneoure - 3.

LOIVA LOIVA

Calalan

Automated Machinery & Various special Attachments

BENEFICIARY LOIVA 7, OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI, GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA MANUFACTURER LOIVA 7, OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI. GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA

# TO WHOME SO EVER IT MAY CONCERN

This is declared by us that below is the machine Serial No. format for our MJ-3400 INDUSTRIAL SEWING MACHINE SINGLE NEEDLE LOCK STITCH MACHINE FOR MAKING COLLAR CUFF ETC. AUTOJIG MACHINE.

LOIVA MJ-3400 MACHINE SERIAL NUMBER FORMAT (EXAMPLE)										
м	J	3	4	С	N	N	К	20	10	17
				IF THIS IS WAISTBAND  TYPE = W	IF THIS HAS THREAD DETECTION SENSOR = T			YEAR	MONTH	PRODUCED IN OCTOBER
MACHINE MODEL NAME				IF THIS IS NORMAL	IF THIS IS NORMAL	LOIKO = K	= 2020	= OCTOBER	2020 FOR THE 17TH	
		VIL	IF THIS IS NORMAL			LOWA = L				
			TYPE = C	TYPE = N	TYPE = N	SAKHO = S				

- MJ34: Machine Model. Fixed Letter
- C: Machine type (i.e. Normal or Waistband)
- N: Optional feature (i.e. Normal or Thread detection sensor)
- N: Optional feature (i.e. Normal or Fabric detection sensor)
- K: It means Brand (i.e. LOIKO)
- 20: It means YEAR (i.e. 2020)
- 10: It means MONTH (i.e. OCTOBER)
- 17: It means order of the serial no. nameplate. It starts from 1

08/01/22

For and on behalf of

LOIVA LOIVA

The Short

41 poxivikk

Annexue-h

# LOIVA LOIVA

Automated Machinery & Various special Attachments

BENEFICIARY LOIVA 7. OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI, GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA

MANUFACTURER LOIVA 7, OJEONG-RO, 71 BEON-GIL, BUCHEON-SI. GYEONGGI-DO, 14445 R.O.KOREA

# TO WHOME SO EVER IT MAY CONCERN

This is declared by us that below is the machine Serial No. format for our ST-10 INDUSTRIAL SEWING MACHINE BUTTON WRAPPING & KNOTTING MACHINE.

			LOI	/A S	T-10 M	IACHINI	SERIAL	NUMB	ER FORMAT	(EXAMPLI	E)
2	6	1	6	4	1	9	0	4	0	7	2
	FIXED	NUI	MBER	2	YEAR	= 2019	MONTH	= APRIL	E.G.) 72ND G	ENERATION	OUT OF 1~1

• 26164: Fixed Letter

• 19: It means YEAR (i.e. 2019)

• 04: It means MONTH (i.e. APRIL)

• 072: It means order of the serial no. nameplate. It starts from 1

For and on behalf of

LOIVA LOIVA

SR. NO.	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	AUTHORIZ
INDIG	ENOUS		
1.	M/S. TRIDENT MACHINES, SURAT.	MC-1-A-7	
2.	M/S. GONDALIYA TEX ENGINEERS, SURAT	MC-1-B-5	
3.	M/S. PRAMUKH TEX PRO, SURAT	MC-1-B-5	
4.	M/S. RAHEJA ECOTECH ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED, DELHI.	MC-2-9, MC-6-C-s	
5.	M/S. SAI STAR IMPEX, SURAT, GUJARAT (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. ZHUJI GAMA ELECTROMECHANICAL MANUFACTURING CO, LTD., CHINA)		2%
6.	M/S. IIGM PVT, LTD., NEW DELHI (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. EASTMAN MACHINE COMPANY, USA. M/S. EASTMAN C.R.A. (HONG KONG) LTD., HONG KONG. M/S. EASTMAN GARMENT EQUIPMENT (NINGBO) CO, LTD., CHINA.)		5%
7.	M/S. REVA TECH, SURAT.	MC-1-A-7, MC-1-A-16	
8.	M/S. IIGM PVT, LTD., NEW DELHI (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. NGAI SHING DEVELOPMENT LIMITED, HONG KONG. M/S. NGAI SHING MACHINE		5%
9.	(SHENZHEN) CO, LTD., CHINA) M/S. MEET ENTERPRISE, SURAT, GUJARAT. (AUTH. AGENT OF ZHEJIANG WANLI TEXTILE MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA)		2%
10.	M/S. OM SATYA EXIM PVT, LTD., SURAT (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. NINGBO CIXING CO., LTD., CHINA)		2%
11.	M/S. WUYANG TEXTILE MACHINES INDIA., SATARA, MAHARASHTRA (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. SHAOXING WUYANG TEXTILE MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA)		2%
BROA	D		
1,	M/S. FOCUS LABEL MACHINERY LTD., ENGLAND	MC-4-48	
		ha l	

2.	M/S. XIAMEN YIGO INDUSTRIAL CO.		
		., MC-3-C-6	
3.	1 A A / C 7		
	GMBH, AUSTRIA	MC-2 40 MC 2 45	
4.	M/S IMPLIA	MC-2-40, MC-2-47, MC-2-54, MC-2-77	
	M/S. IMPIANTI TESSILI ALEA S.R.L.,		
		MC-2-29	
5.	M/S. JIANGSHAN STARK IMPORT		
	AND EXPORT CO, LTD., CHINA		
	(ALITH ACENT OF), CHINA		1%
	(AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. ZHEJIANG		
	TOTAL MACHINEDWAY		
6.	M/S. XIAMEN SINTELLI MACHINERY		
	INDUSTRIAL CO, LTD., CHINA		100
	(AUTH AGENT OF ME)		10%
	(AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. JINJIANG		
	XINDA PRECISION MACHINERY CO,		
	1 CILINA		
7.	M/S. N.V. BONAS TEXTILE		
	MACHINERY BELGILIM	MC-1-A-7, MC-3-H-17	
8.	M/S. OINGDAO HILADIII IDVOVI		
	MACHINERY CO. LAR.	MC-3-G-1-VII, MC-3-G-1-VI,	
	MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA	MC-3-G 1 III MG 3-G-1-VI,	
9.		MC-3-G-1-III, MC-3-E-9, MC-	
	M/S. SHINE KON EXITERDRICE	3-1-11, MC-3-1-12 MC-2 T	
	LID., TAIWAN	MC-3-E-9, MC-3-W-16 MC 2	
		77, MC-3-H-22	

